

Appendix A

Air Quality Assessment

MEMORANDUM

To: Kari Cano, Project Manager
From: Alex Pohlman, Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
Date: September 30, 2024
Subject: JD Fields Pipe Facility Project – Air Quality Consistency Analysis

1.0 PURPOSE

The purpose of this memorandum is to identify compare the air quality and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, as well as noise and vibration levels associated with construction and operations of the proposed JD Fields Pipe Facility Project (Project), located in the City of Hemet, California. An air quality assessment, greenhouse gas emissions assessment, and acoustical assessment were prepared for the original project in August 2022. Since that time the Project has been revised. This analysis has been undertaken to analyze whether the revised Project would result in any new significant environmental impacts as compared to the previous conclusions discussed in the Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration.

Project Location and Setting

The proposed Project is in the City of Hemet (City), located on the east side of S. Gilmore Street, approximately 700 feet south of Acacia Avenue. Local access to the Project site is provided by S. Gilmore Street. Regional access is provided by State Route 74 (SR-74), which connects to the Interstate 215 (I-215) to the west and State Route 79 (SR-79), which connects to the Interstate 10 (I-10) to the north. The existing 9.2-acre site is currently vacant and unimproved.

2.0 PROPOSED PROJECT

Previous Project

The previously analyzed project proposed the development of a 25,000 square foot (sq. ft.) metal/prefab modular warehouse building consisting of 22,000 sq. ft. warehouse space and 3,300 sq. ft. office, an approximately 11,961 sq. ft. detention basin, 60 parking stalls, truck trailer parking, loading and off-loading docks, interior drives, a 7.0 acres laydown or outdoor storage facility, perimeter fencing, and landscaping. The warehouse facility is anticipated to be utilized by the owner/operator, JD Fields & Company, for receipt/delivery, storage, fabrication, and distribution of steel/Polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipe, steel piling, plumping equipment, valves, and flanges. However, the facility would exclude retail sale of any products fabricated and/or stored on-site. Although the project drive aisles and parking area would be paved, the outdoor storage area was intended to be left unpaved and covered with gravel. This project intends to employ approximately 50 on-site office/warehouse workers of various construction trades (skilled labor), including a professional sales staff, and may operate twenty-four (24) hours a day, seven (7) days a week.

Current Project

The proposed Project would consist of the same site as previously analyzed project. Changes to the Project include paving all previously unpaved outdoor storage areas and installing an underground water treatment basin. Overall, the amount of building square footage and number of daily truck and passenger vehicle trips would remain the same.

3.0 PROJECT SPECIFIC ANALYSIS

3.1 Air Quality

Like the previous project, air quality and GHG emissions have been modeled using CalEEMod. However, since the time that the modeling for the previous project was completed, California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA) has released a new version of CalEEMod. Therefore, the analysis for the current Project has been modeled using the latest version of CalEEMod, version 2022. As a result, construction and operational emissions may be different due to changes in the way emissions are calculated in the new model.

Construction Emissions

To be consistent with previous modeling, construction of the proposed Project was modeled using the same construction schedule as the previous project, starting February 1, 2022, and concluding November 25, 2022. This method is conservative, as construction equipment and vehicle emissions would decrease in future years as engines become cleaner and fuel efficiency improves.

Construction for the previously analyzed project and current Project would involve the same building footprint and the same exterior building architecture. The project is anticipated to have increased earthwork volumes due to excavation for the underground water treatment basin and would include the paving of additional Project site areas, however construction duration and equipment used would be the same as the previously modeled project. The Project would be constructed over approximately 10 months. Site preparation and grading would conservatively export approximately 16,000 cubic yards of soil which is 2,098 cubic yards more than the previously analyzed project. The project would be required to implement South Coast Air Management District (SCAQMD) standard dust control rules. As shown in **Table 1: Construction Emission**, all criteria pollutants would remain below their respective thresholds.

Table 1: Construction Emissions

Source	Pollutant (Maximum Pounds per Day)					
	Reactive Organic Gases (ROG)	Nitrogen Oxide (NO _x)	Carbon Monoxide (CO)	Sulfur Dioxide (SO ₂)	Coarse Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)	Fine Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5})
Year 1 (2022)	6.02	49.83	39.31	0.08	11.00	6.19
SCAQMD Threshold	75	100	550	150	150	55
Exceeds Threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No
Notes: SCAQMD Rule 403 Fugitive Dust applied. The Rule 403 reduction/credits include the following: properly maintain mobile and other construction equipment; water exposed surfaces three times daily; and limit speeds on unpaved roads to 15 miles per hour. Reductions percentages from the SCAQMD CEQA Handbook (Tables XI-A through XI-E) were applied. No mitigation was applied to construction equipment. Refer to Appendix A for Model Data Outputs.						
Source: CalEEMod version 2022, Refer to Appendix A for model outputs.						

Operational Emissions

The operational emissions from the proposed Project are shown in **Table 2: Operational Emissions**. Like the previously analyzed project, the emissions are below SCAQMD thresholds.

Table 2: Operational Emissions

Source	Pollutant (Maximum Pounds per Day)					
	Reactive Organic Gases (ROG)	Nitrogen Oxide (NO _x)	Carbon Monoxide (CO)	Sulfur Dioxide (SO ₂)	Coarse Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)	Fine Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5})
Mobile Emissions	0.23	2.34	2.77	0.02	0.88	0.25
Area Source Emissions	0.84	0.01	1.10	0.0001	0.002	0.002
Energy Emissions	0.01	0.14	0.12	0.001	0.01	0.01
Off-Road Emissions	1.22	10.39	10.33	0.02	0.57	0.52
Total Emissions	2.30	12.88	14.32	0.0411	1.462	0.782
SCAQMD Threshold	55	55	550	150	150	55
Exceeds Threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No
Source: CalEEMod version 2022, Refer to Appendix A for model outputs.						

Identical to the previously analyzed project, the proposed Project would generate 43 daily vehicle trips, resulting in mobile source emissions. Area source emissions would be generated due to consumer products, architectural coating, and landscaping. Energy source emissions would be generated due to the project’s natural gas usage, including space heating and water heating. The analysis also includes off-road emissions from cargo handling equipment such as forklifts. As shown in **Table 2**, operational emissions from the project would not exceed SCAQMD thresholds for criteria pollutants.

Localized Construction Significance Analysis

To identify impacts to sensitive receptors, the SCAQMD recommends addressing LSTs for construction. CalEEMod calculates construction emissions based on the number of equipment hours and the maximum daily soil disturbance activity possible for each piece of equipment. The appropriate source receptor area (SRA) for this Project is the Hemet/San Jacinto Valley area (SRA 28). The nearest sensitive receptors are the residences located 70 feet (21.34 meters) west of the Project. The SCAQMD recommends that the 25-meter LSTs should be used for receptors located 25 meters away or less. Although the proposed Project includes additional construction activities (paving additional on-site areas and constructing an underground water treatment basin) the equipment used on-site remained the same. Therefore, LSTs for receptors located at 25 meters or less with a disturbance area of 4 acres per day were utilized in this analysis, identical to the previously analyzed project. **Table 3: Localized Significance of Construction Emissions** presents the results of localized emissions during each construction phase.

Table 3: Localized Significance of Construction Emissions

Construction Activity	Maximum Pounds Per Day			
	Nitrogen Oxide (NO _x)	Carbon Monoxide (CO)	Coarse Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)	Fine Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5})
Site Preparation	43.35	36.71	9.67	5.77
Grading	22.90	21.19	3.87	2.35
Building Construction	13.06	13.56	0.68	0.60
Paving	8.46	10.09	0.46	0.43
Architectural Coating	0.96	1.17	0.04	0.04
SCAQMD Localized Screening Threshold (adjusted for 4 acres at 25 meters)	325	1,677	11	7
Exceed SCAQMD Threshold?	No	No	No	No

Source: CalEEMod version 2022, Refer to Appendix A for model outputs.

Table 3 shows that emissions of these pollutants on the peak day of construction would not result in significant concentrations of pollutants at nearby sensitive receptors.

Localized Operational Significance Analysis

According to the SCAQMD LST methodology, LSTs would apply to the operational phase of a project only if it includes stationary sources or attracts mobile sources that may spend long periods queuing and idling at the site (e.g. warehouse or transfer facilities). Since the Project is a warehouse, the operational phase LST protocol is conservatively applied to both the area source and 10 percent of the mobile source emissions. This portion of the mobile sources conservatively represents the on-site idling from trucks. As the nearest receptors are located approximately 70 feet (21.34 meters) from the Project site, the LSTs for 25 meters in SRA 28 were utilized in this analysis. Although the Project is approximately 9.2 acres, the 5-acre LST threshold was conservatively used for the proposed Project, as the LSTs increase with the size of the site.

Emissions shown in **Table 4: Localized Significance of Operational Emissions**, conservatively include all on-site Project-related area sources, off-road equipment emissions, and 10 percent of the total Project-related new mobile sources since a portion of mobile sources would include vehicles maneuvering and idling on-site. **Table 4** shows that the maximum daily emissions of these pollutants during operations would not result in significant concentrations of pollutants at nearby sensitive receptors.

Table 4: Localized Significance of Operational Emissions

Activity	Maximum Pounds Per Day			
	Nitrogen Oxide (NO _x)	Carbon Monoxide (CO)	Coarse Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)	Fine Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5})
On-Site Area Source and off-road equipment	10.40	11.43	0.57	0.52
On-Site (10%) of Mobile Source Emissions	0.23	0.23	0.09	0.03
Total Emissions	10.63	10.66	0.66	0.55
<i>SCAQMD Localized Screening Threshold (5 acres at 25 meters)</i>	371	1,965	4	2
Exceed SCAQMD Threshold?	No	No	No	No
Source: CalEEMod version 2022, Refer to Appendix A for model outputs.				

Conclusion

The Project would involve additional paving and the installation of an underground water treatment basin; however, the Project’s building footprint and exterior building architecture would remain the same. The construction of the underground water treatment basin would require the export of additional soil which would result in more hauling trips during the site preparation and grading phases of construction.

Operational activities would remain the same because the building square footage and daily vehicle trips (passenger vehicles and trucks) would remain unchanged. The proposed Project would not result in new impacts relative to cumulative air quality emissions or a substantial increase in the severity of a previously identified significant impact.

As shown in **Table 1** and **Table 2**, the proposed Project would not exceed SCAQMD’s thresholds. In addition, the proposed Project would not expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations as shown in **Table 3** and **Table 4**. Therefore, no new or more significant air quality impacts than those analyzed in the original Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND) would result from the proposed Project and no new or additional mitigation is required.

Appendix A

JD Fields Update Detailed Report

Table of Contents

1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.2. Land Use Types
 - 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector
2. Emissions Summary
 - 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated
 - 2.3. Construction Emissions by Year, Mitigated
 - 2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated
 - 2.6. Operations Emissions by Sector, Mitigated
3. Construction Emissions Details
 - 3.1. Site Preparation (2022) - Unmitigated
 - 3.2. Site Preparation (2022) - Mitigated
 - 3.3. Grading (2022) - Unmitigated

3.4. Grading (2022) - Mitigated

3.5. Building Construction (2022) - Unmitigated

3.6. Building Construction (2022) - Mitigated

3.7. Paving (2022) - Unmitigated

3.8. Paving (2022) - Mitigated

3.9. Architectural Coating (2022) - Unmitigated

3.10. Architectural Coating (2022) - Mitigated

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

4.1.1. Unmitigated

4.1.2. Mitigated

4.2. Energy

4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

4.2.2. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

4.2.4. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

4.3. Area Emissions by Source

4.3.1. Unmitigated

4.3.2. Mitigated

4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

4.4.1. Unmitigated

4.4.2. Mitigated

4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

4.5.1. Unmitigated

4.5.2. Mitigated

4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

4.6.1. Unmitigated

4.6.2. Mitigated

4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

4.7.1. Unmitigated

4.7.2. Mitigated

4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

4.8.1. Unmitigated

4.8.2. Mitigated

4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

4.9.1. Unmitigated

4.9.2. Mitigated

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

4.10.4. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Mitigated

4.10.5. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Mitigated

4.10.6. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Mitigated

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

5.2.2. Mitigated

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

5.3.2. Mitigated

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

5.5. Architectural Coatings

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

5.7. Construction Paving

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

5.9.1. Unmitigated

5.9.2. Mitigated

5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

5.10.1.1. Unmitigated

5.10.1.2. Mitigated

5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

5.10.4. Landscape Equipment - Mitigated

5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

5.11.1. Unmitigated

5.11.2. Mitigated

5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

5.12.1. Unmitigated

5.12.2. Mitigated

5.13. Operational Waste Generation

5.13.1. Unmitigated

5.13.2. Mitigated

5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

5.14.1. Unmitigated

5.14.2. Mitigated

5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

5.15.1. Unmitigated

5.15.2. Mitigated

5.16. Stationary Sources

5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

5.16.2. Process Boilers

5.17. User Defined

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

5.18.1.2. Mitigated

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

5.18.1.2. Mitigated

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

5.18.2.2. Mitigated

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

8. User Changes to Default Data

1. Basic Project Information

1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	JD Fields Update
Construction Start Date	2/1/2022
Operational Year	2022
Lead Agency	—
Land Use Scale	Project/site
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	2.50
Precipitation (days)	8.60
Location	33.74064107065303, -116.99274125927529
County	Riverside-South Coast
City	Hemet
Air District	South Coast AQMD
Air Basin	South Coast
TAZ	5562
EDFZ	11
Electric Utility	Southern California Edison
Gas Utility	Southern California Gas
App Version	2022.1.1.28

1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)	Landscape Area (sq ft)	Special Landscape Area (sq ft)	Population	Description
General Office Building	3.30	1000sqft	0.08	3,300	0.00	0.00	—	—

Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	22.0	1000sqft	0.51	22,000	0.00	0.00	—	—
Other Asphalt Surfaces	375	1000sqft	8.62	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	—

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

Sector	#	Measure Title
Construction	C-10-A	Water Exposed Surfaces
Construction	C-11	Limit Vehicle Speeds on Unpaved Roads

2. Emissions Summary

2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	3.44	2.96	21.9	26.2	0.04	1.11	0.37	1.48	1.03	0.09	1.11	—	4,419	4,419	0.18	0.06	2.10	4,445
Mit.	3.44	2.96	21.9	26.2	0.04	1.11	0.37	1.48	1.03	0.09	1.11	—	4,419	4,419	0.18	0.06	2.10	4,445
% Reduced	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	6.25	6.02	49.8	39.3	0.08	2.06	20.9	23.0	1.90	10.5	12.4	—	9,629	9,629	0.33	0.70	0.25	9,846
Mit.	6.25	6.02	49.8	39.3	0.08	2.06	8.94	11.0	1.90	4.29	6.19	—	9,629	9,629	0.33	0.70	0.25	9,846
% Reduced	—	—	—	—	—	—	57%	52%	—	59%	50%	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Average Daily (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	1.63	1.45	8.93	8.97	0.02	0.41	1.23	1.64	0.38	0.56	0.94	—	1,879	1,879	0.07	0.08	0.61	1,906
Mit.	1.63	1.45	8.93	8.97	0.02	0.41	0.60	1.01	0.38	0.25	0.63	—	1,879	1,879	0.07	0.08	0.61	1,906
% Reduced	—	—	—	—	—	—	51%	38%	—	55%	33%	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	0.30	0.26	1.63	1.64	< 0.005	0.08	0.22	0.30	0.07	0.10	0.17	—	311	311	0.01	0.01	0.10	316
Mit.	0.30	0.26	1.63	1.64	< 0.005	0.08	0.11	0.19	0.07	0.05	0.12	—	311	311	0.01	0.01	0.10	316
% Reduced	—	—	—	—	—	—	51%	38%	—	55%	33%	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Exceeds (Daily Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Threshold	—	75.0	100	550	150	—	—	150	—	—	55.0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	—	No	No	No	No	—	Yes	No	—	Yes	No	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mit.	—	No	No	No	No	—	Yes	No	—	Yes	No	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Exceeds (Average Daily)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Threshold	—	75.0	100	550	150	—	—	150	—	—	55.0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	—	No	No	No	No	—	Yes	No	—	Yes	No	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mit.	—	No	No	No	No	—	Yes	No	—	Yes	No	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
------	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-------	-------	-------	--------	--------	--------	------	-------	------	-----	-----	---	------

Daily - Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2022	3.44	2.96	21.9	26.2	0.04	1.11	0.37	1.48	1.03	0.09	1.11	—	4,419	4,419	0.18	0.06	2.10	4,445
Daily - Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2022	6.25	6.02	49.8	39.3	0.08	2.06	20.9	23.0	1.90	10.5	12.4	—	9,629	9,629	0.33	0.70	0.25	9,846
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2022	1.63	1.45	8.93	8.97	0.02	0.41	1.23	1.64	0.38	0.56	0.94	—	1,879	1,879	0.07	0.08	0.61	1,906
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2022	0.30	0.26	1.63	1.64	< 0.005	0.08	0.22	0.30	0.07	0.10	0.17	—	311	311	0.01	0.01	0.10	316

2.3. Construction Emissions by Year, Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Year	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2022	3.44	2.96	21.9	26.2	0.04	1.11	0.37	1.48	1.03	0.09	1.11	—	4,419	4,419	0.18	0.06	2.10	4,445
Daily - Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2022	6.25	6.02	49.8	39.3	0.08	2.06	8.94	11.0	1.90	4.29	6.19	—	9,629	9,629	0.33	0.70	0.25	9,846
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2022	1.63	1.45	8.93	8.97	0.02	0.41	0.60	1.01	0.38	0.25	0.63	—	1,879	1,879	0.07	0.08	0.61	1,906
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2022	0.30	0.26	1.63	1.64	< 0.005	0.08	0.11	0.19	0.07	0.05	0.12	—	311	311	0.01	0.01	0.10	316

2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	1.16	1.08	2.39	3.98	0.02	0.04	0.85	0.89	0.04	0.22	0.26	23.7	2,315	2,339	2.47	0.27	6.60	2,487
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	0.95	0.89	2.48	2.44	0.02	0.04	0.85	0.89	0.04	0.22	0.26	23.7	2,277	2,300	2.47	0.27	0.18	2,442
Average Daily (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	1.08	1.01	2.52	3.27	0.02	0.04	0.84	0.89	0.04	0.22	0.26	23.7	2,285	2,308	2.47	0.27	2.85	2,453
Annual (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	0.20	0.18	0.46	0.60	< 0.005	0.01	0.15	0.16	0.01	0.04	0.05	3.92	378	382	0.41	0.04	0.47	406
Exceeds (Daily Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Threshold	—	55.0	55.0	550	150	—	—	150	—	—	55.0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	—	No	No	No	No	—	Yes	No	—	Yes	No	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Exceeds (Average Daily)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Threshold	—	55.0	55.0	550	150	—	—	150	—	—	55.0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	—	No	No	No	No	—	Yes	No	—	Yes	No	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Sector	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.28	0.23	2.24	2.77	0.02	0.03	0.85	0.88	0.03	0.22	0.25	—	1,958	1,958	0.04	0.24	6.59	2,037
Area	0.86	0.84	0.01	1.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	4.52	4.52	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	4.54
Energy	0.02	0.01	0.14	0.12	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	315	315	0.03	< 0.005	—	317
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	10.9	36.9	47.8	1.12	0.03	—	83.7
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	12.8	0.00	12.8	1.28	0.00	—	44.8
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01
Total	1.16	1.08	2.39	3.98	0.02	0.04	0.85	0.89	0.04	0.22	0.26	23.7	2,315	2,339	2.47	0.27	6.60	2,487
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.27	0.22	2.34	2.33	0.02	0.03	0.85	0.88	0.03	0.22	0.25	—	1,924	1,924	0.04	0.24	0.17	1,997
Area	0.66	0.66	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Energy	0.02	0.01	0.14	0.12	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	315	315	0.03	< 0.005	—	317
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	10.9	36.9	47.8	1.12	0.03	—	83.7
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	12.8	0.00	12.8	1.28	0.00	—	44.8
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01
Total	0.95	0.89	2.48	2.44	0.02	0.04	0.85	0.89	0.04	0.22	0.26	23.7	2,277	2,300	2.47	0.27	0.18	2,442
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.27	0.22	2.38	2.41	0.02	0.03	0.84	0.87	0.03	0.22	0.25	—	1,929	1,929	0.04	0.24	2.85	2,005
Area	0.80	0.79	0.01	0.75	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	3.10	3.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.11
Energy	0.02	0.01	0.14	0.12	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	315	315	0.03	< 0.005	—	317
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	10.9	36.9	47.8	1.12	0.03	—	83.7
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	12.8	0.00	12.8	1.28	0.00	—	44.8
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01

Total	1.08	1.01	2.52	3.27	0.02	0.04	0.84	0.89	0.04	0.22	0.26	23.7	2,285	2,308	2.47	0.27	2.85	2,453
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.05	0.04	0.43	0.44	< 0.005	0.01	0.15	0.16	0.01	0.04	0.05	—	319	319	0.01	0.04	0.47	332
Area	0.15	0.14	< 0.005	0.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	0.51	0.51	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.51
Energy	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	52.2	52.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	52.5
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.80	6.11	7.91	0.19	< 0.005	—	13.9
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.12	0.00	2.12	0.21	0.00	—	7.41
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	< 0.005	< 0.005
Total	0.20	0.18	0.46	0.60	< 0.005	0.01	0.15	0.16	0.01	0.04	0.05	3.92	378	382	0.41	0.04	0.47	406

2.6. Operations Emissions by Sector, Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Sector	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.28	0.23	2.24	2.77	0.02	0.03	0.85	0.88	0.03	0.22	0.25	—	1,958	1,958	0.04	0.24	6.59	2,037
Area	0.86	0.84	0.01	1.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	4.52	4.52	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	4.54
Energy	0.02	0.01	0.14	0.12	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	315	315	0.03	< 0.005	—	317
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	10.9	36.9	47.8	1.12	0.03	—	83.7
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	12.8	0.00	12.8	1.28	0.00	—	44.8
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01
Total	1.16	1.08	2.39	3.98	0.02	0.04	0.85	0.89	0.04	0.22	0.26	23.7	2,315	2,339	2.47	0.27	6.60	2,487
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.27	0.22	2.34	2.33	0.02	0.03	0.85	0.88	0.03	0.22	0.25	—	1,924	1,924	0.04	0.24	0.17	1,997
Area	0.66	0.66	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Energy	0.02	0.01	0.14	0.12	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	315	315	0.03	< 0.005	—	317

Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	10.9	36.9	47.8	1.12	0.03	—	83.7
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	12.8	0.00	12.8	1.28	0.00	—	44.8
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01
Total	0.95	0.89	2.48	2.44	0.02	0.04	0.85	0.89	0.04	0.22	0.26	23.7	2,277	2,300	2.47	0.27	0.18	2,442
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.27	0.22	2.38	2.41	0.02	0.03	0.84	0.87	0.03	0.22	0.25	—	1,929	1,929	0.04	0.24	2.85	2,005
Area	0.80	0.79	0.01	0.75	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	3.10	3.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.11
Energy	0.02	0.01	0.14	0.12	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	315	315	0.03	< 0.005	—	317
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	10.9	36.9	47.8	1.12	0.03	—	83.7
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	12.8	0.00	12.8	1.28	0.00	—	44.8
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01
Total	1.08	1.01	2.52	3.27	0.02	0.04	0.84	0.89	0.04	0.22	0.26	23.7	2,285	2,308	2.47	0.27	2.85	2,453
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.05	0.04	0.43	0.44	< 0.005	0.01	0.15	0.16	0.01	0.04	0.05	—	319	319	0.01	0.04	0.47	332
Area	0.15	0.14	< 0.005	0.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	0.51	0.51	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.51
Energy	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	52.2	52.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	52.5
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.80	6.11	7.91	0.19	< 0.005	—	13.9
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.12	0.00	2.12	0.21	0.00	—	7.41
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	< 0.005	< 0.005
Total	0.20	0.18	0.46	0.60	< 0.005	0.01	0.15	0.16	0.01	0.04	0.05	3.92	378	382	0.41	0.04	0.47	406

3. Construction Emissions Details

3.1. Site Preparation (2022) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	4.99	4.20	43.4	36.7	0.05	1.99	—	1.99	1.83	—	1.83	—	5,291	5,291	0.21	0.04	—	5,309
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	19.7	19.7	—	10.1	10.1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.14	0.11	1.19	1.01	< 0.005	0.05	—	0.05	0.05	—	0.05	—	145	145	0.01	< 0.005	—	145
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.54	0.54	—	0.28	0.28	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.02	0.02	0.22	0.18	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	24.0	24.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	24.1
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.10	0.10	—	0.05	0.05	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.11	0.09	0.12	1.30	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.23	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	241	241	0.01	0.01	0.03	244
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.21	0.11	6.36	1.31	0.03	0.08	1.04	1.11	0.08	0.29	0.37	—	4,098	4,098	0.10	0.65	0.22	4,293
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	6.68	6.68	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	6.78
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.01	< 0.005	0.18	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	112	112	< 0.005	0.02	0.10	118
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.11	1.11	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.12
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	18.6	18.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	19.5

3.2. Site Preparation (2022) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Off-Road Equipment	4.99	4.20	43.4	36.7	0.05	1.99	—	1.99	1.83	—	1.83	—	5,291	5,291	0.21	0.04	—	5,309
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	7.68	7.68	—	3.94	3.94	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.14	0.11	1.19	1.01	< 0.005	0.05	—	0.05	0.05	—	0.05	—	145	145	0.01	< 0.005	—	145
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.21	0.21	—	0.11	0.11	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.02	0.02	0.22	0.18	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	24.0	24.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	24.1
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.04	0.04	—	0.02	0.02	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.11	0.09	0.12	1.30	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.23	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	241	241	0.01	0.01	0.03	244
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.21	0.11	6.36	1.31	0.03	0.08	1.04	1.11	0.08	0.29	0.37	—	4,098	4,098	0.10	0.65	0.22	4,293
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	6.68	6.68	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	6.78
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.01	< 0.005	0.18	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.03	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	112	112	< 0.005	0.02	0.10	118
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.11	1.11	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.12
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	18.6	18.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	19.5

3.3. Grading (2022) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	2.76	2.32	22.9	21.2	0.03	1.10	—	1.10	1.01	—	1.01	—	3,098	3,098	0.13	0.03	—	3,108

Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	7.11	7.11	—	3.43	3.43	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.19	0.16	1.57	1.45	< 0.005	0.08	—	0.08	0.07	—	0.07	—	212	212	0.01	< 0.005	—	213
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.49	0.49	—	0.23	0.23	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.03	0.03	0.29	0.26	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	35.1	35.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	35.2
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.09	0.09	—	0.04	0.04	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.09	0.08	0.10	1.12	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	206	206	0.01	0.01	0.03	209

Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.21	0.11	6.35	1.30	0.03	0.08	1.03	1.11	0.08	0.29	0.37	—	4,095	4,095	0.10	0.65	0.22	4,290
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	14.3	14.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	14.5
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.01	0.01	0.44	0.09	< 0.005	0.01	0.07	0.08	0.01	0.02	0.02	—	280	280	0.01	0.04	0.25	294
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	2.37	2.37	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	2.40
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	46.4	46.4	< 0.005	0.01	0.04	48.7

3.4. Grading (2022) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	2.76	2.32	22.9	21.2	0.03	1.10	—	1.10	1.01	—	1.01	—	3,098	3,098	0.13	0.03	—	3,108
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.77	2.77	—	1.34	1.34	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.19	0.16	1.57	1.45	< 0.005	0.08	—	0.08	0.07	—	0.07	—	212	212	0.01	< 0.005	—	213
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.19	0.19	—	0.09	0.09	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.03	0.03	0.29	0.26	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	35.1	35.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	35.2
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.02	0.02	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.09	0.08	0.10	1.12	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	206	206	0.01	0.01	0.03	209
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.21	0.11	6.35	1.30	0.03	0.08	1.03	1.11	0.08	0.29	0.37	—	4,095	4,095	0.10	0.65	0.22	4,290
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	14.3	14.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	14.5

Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.01	0.01	0.44	0.09	< 0.005	0.01	0.07	0.08	0.01	0.02	0.02	—	280	280	0.01	0.04	0.25	294
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	2.37	2.37	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	2.40
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	46.4	46.4	< 0.005	0.01	0.04	48.7

3.5. Building Construction (2022) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.65	1.38	13.1	13.6	0.02	0.65	—	0.65	0.60	—	0.60	—	2,397	2,397	0.10	0.02	—	2,406
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.65	1.38	13.1	13.6	0.02	0.65	—	0.65	0.60	—	0.60	—	2,397	2,397	0.10	0.02	—	2,406
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Off-Road Equipment	0.54	0.45	4.29	4.46	0.01	0.21	—	0.21	0.20	—	0.20	—	788	788	0.03	0.01	—	791
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.10	0.08	0.78	0.81	< 0.005	0.04	—	0.04	0.04	—	0.04	—	130	130	0.01	< 0.005	—	131
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.07	0.06	0.06	1.01	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	—	154	154	0.01	0.01	0.71	157
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.19	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.04	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	131	131	< 0.005	0.02	0.36	138
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	—	142	142	0.01	0.01	0.02	143
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.20	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.04	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	131	131	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	137
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	47.2	47.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.10	47.8
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	43.1	43.1	< 0.005	0.01	0.05	45.2
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	7.81	7.81	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	7.92

Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	7.14	7.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	7.48
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.6. Building Construction (2022) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.65	1.38	13.1	13.6	0.02	0.65	—	0.65	0.60	—	0.60	—	2,397	2,397	0.10	0.02	—	2,406
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.65	1.38	13.1	13.6	0.02	0.65	—	0.65	0.60	—	0.60	—	2,397	2,397	0.10	0.02	—	2,406
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.54	0.45	4.29	4.46	0.01	0.21	—	0.21	0.20	—	0.20	—	788	788	0.03	0.01	—	791
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Off-Road Equipment	0.10	0.08	0.78	0.81	< 0.005	0.04	—	0.04	0.04	—	0.04	—	130	130	0.01	< 0.005	—	131
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.07	0.06	0.06	1.01	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	—	154	154	0.01	0.01	0.71	157
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.19	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.04	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	131	131	< 0.005	0.02	0.36	138
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	—	142	142	0.01	0.01	0.02	143
Vendor	0.01	< 0.005	0.20	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.04	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	131	131	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	137
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	47.2	47.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.10	47.8
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	43.1	43.1	< 0.005	0.01	0.05	45.2
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	7.81	7.81	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	7.92
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	7.14	7.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	7.48
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.7. Paving (2022) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.12	0.94	8.46	10.1	0.01	0.46	—	0.46	0.43	—	0.43	—	1,511	1,511	0.06	0.01	—	1,517
Paving	0.50	0.50	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.12	0.94	8.46	10.1	0.01	0.46	—	0.46	0.43	—	0.43	—	1,511	1,511	0.06	0.01	—	1,517
Paving	0.50	0.50	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.14	0.12	1.04	1.24	< 0.005	0.06	—	0.06	0.05	—	0.05	—	186	186	0.01	< 0.005	—	187
Paving	0.06	0.06	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.03	0.02	0.19	0.23	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	30.9	30.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	31.0
Paving	0.01	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.10	0.08	0.09	1.47	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	225	225	0.01	0.01	1.03	228	
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.09	0.08	0.10	1.12	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	206	206	0.01	0.01	0.03	209	
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	25.8	25.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	26.1	
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	4.27	4.27	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	4.33	
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

3.8. Paving (2022) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.12	0.94	8.46	10.1	0.01	0.46	—	0.46	0.43	—	0.43	—	1,511	1,511	0.06	0.01	—	1,517
Paving	0.50	0.50	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.12	0.94	8.46	10.1	0.01	0.46	—	0.46	0.43	—	0.43	—	1,511	1,511	0.06	0.01	—	1,517
Paving	0.50	0.50	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.14	0.12	1.04	1.24	< 0.005	0.06	—	0.06	0.05	—	0.05	—	186	186	0.01	< 0.005	—	187
Paving	0.06	0.06	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.03	0.02	0.19	0.23	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	30.9	30.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	31.0
Paving	0.01	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.10	0.08	0.09	1.47	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	225	225	0.01	0.01	1.03	228
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.09	0.08	0.10	1.12	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	206	206	0.01	0.01	0.03	209
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	25.8	25.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	26.1
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	4.27	4.27	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	4.33
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.9. Architectural Coating (2022) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.19	0.16	0.96	1.17	< 0.005	0.04	—	0.04	0.04	—	0.04	—	134	134	0.01	< 0.005	—	134
Architectural Coatings	4.34	4.34	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.02	0.02	0.11	0.13	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	14.6	14.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	14.7
Architectural Coatings	0.48	0.48	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	2.42	2.42	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	2.43
Architectural Coatings	0.09	0.09	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	28.3	28.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	28.7	
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.15	3.15	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	3.19	
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.52	0.52	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.53	
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

3.10. Architectural Coating (2022) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Off-Road Equipment	0.19	0.16	0.96	1.17	< 0.005	0.04	—	0.04	0.04	—	0.04	—	134	134	0.01	< 0.005	—	134
Architectural Coatings	4.34	4.34	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.02	0.02	0.11	0.13	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	14.6	14.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	14.7
Architectural Coatings	0.48	0.48	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	2.42	2.42	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	2.43
Architectural Coatings	0.09	0.09	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	28.3	28.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	28.7
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.15	3.15	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	3.19
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.52	0.52	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.53
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

4.1.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	0.21	0.19	0.28	2.35	0.01	< 0.005	0.43	0.43	< 0.005	0.11	0.11	—	543	543	0.02	0.02	2.52	553

Unrefrig erated Wareho Rail	0.07	0.05	1.96	0.41	0.01	0.03	0.42	0.45	0.02	0.11	0.14	—	1,415	1,415	0.02	0.21	4.07	1,484
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.28	0.23	2.24	2.77	0.02	0.03	0.85	0.88	0.03	0.22	0.25	—	1,958	1,958	0.04	0.24	6.59	2,037
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	0.20	0.17	0.30	1.91	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.43	0.43	< 0.005	0.11	0.11	—	509	509	0.02	0.02	0.07	517
Unrefrig erated Wareho use-No Rail	0.07	0.04	2.05	0.42	0.01	0.03	0.42	0.45	0.02	0.11	0.14	—	1,415	1,415	0.02	0.21	0.11	1,480
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.27	0.22	2.34	2.33	0.02	0.03	0.85	0.88	0.03	0.22	0.25	—	1,924	1,924	0.04	0.24	0.17	1,997
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.36	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	0.08	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	—	85.2	85.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.18	86.6
Unrefrig erated Wareho use-No Rail	0.01	0.01	0.38	0.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	0.08	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	—	234	234	< 0.005	0.04	0.29	245
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.05	0.04	0.43	0.44	< 0.005	0.01	0.15	0.16	0.01	0.04	0.05	—	319	319	0.01	0.04	0.47	332

4.1.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	0.21	0.19	0.28	2.35	0.01	< 0.005	0.43	0.43	< 0.005	0.11	0.11	—	543	543	0.02	0.02	2.52	553
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	0.07	0.05	1.96	0.41	0.01	0.03	0.42	0.45	0.02	0.11	0.14	—	1,415	1,415	0.02	0.21	4.07	1,484
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.28	0.23	2.24	2.77	0.02	0.03	0.85	0.88	0.03	0.22	0.25	—	1,958	1,958	0.04	0.24	6.59	2,037
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	0.20	0.17	0.30	1.91	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.43	0.43	< 0.005	0.11	0.11	—	509	509	0.02	0.02	0.07	517
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	0.07	0.04	2.05	0.42	0.01	0.03	0.42	0.45	0.02	0.11	0.14	—	1,415	1,415	0.02	0.21	0.11	1,480
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.27	0.22	2.34	2.33	0.02	0.03	0.85	0.88	0.03	0.22	0.25	—	1,924	1,924	0.04	0.24	0.17	1,997
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

General Office Building	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.36	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	0.08	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	—	85.2	85.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.18	86.6
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	0.01	0.01	0.38	0.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	0.08	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	—	234	234	< 0.005	0.04	0.29	245
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.05	0.04	0.43	0.44	< 0.005	0.01	0.15	0.16	0.01	0.04	0.05	—	319	319	0.01	0.04	0.47	332

4.2. Energy

4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	55.0	55.0	0.01	< 0.005	—	55.3
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	96.7	96.7	0.01	< 0.005	—	97.3
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	152	152	0.01	< 0.005	—	153

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	55.0	55.0	0.01	< 0.005	—	55.3
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	96.7	96.7	0.01	< 0.005	—	97.3
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	152	152	0.01	< 0.005	—	153
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9.10	9.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	9.16
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	16.0	16.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	16.1
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.1	25.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	25.3

4.2.2. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

General Office Building	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	55.0	55.0	0.01	< 0.005	—	55.3
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	96.7	96.7	0.01	< 0.005	—	97.3
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	152	152	0.01	< 0.005	—	153
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	55.0	55.0	0.01	< 0.005	—	55.3
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	96.7	96.7	0.01	< 0.005	—	97.3
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	152	152	0.01	< 0.005	—	153
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9.10	9.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	9.16
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	16.0	16.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	16.1

Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.1	25.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	25.3

4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	29.2	29.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	29.3
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	0.01	0.01	0.11	0.09	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	135	135	0.01	< 0.005	—	135
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.02	0.01	0.14	0.12	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	164	164	0.01	< 0.005	—	164
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	29.2	29.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	29.3
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	0.01	0.01	0.11	0.09	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	135	135	0.01	< 0.005	—	135

Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.02	0.01	0.14	0.12	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	164	164	0.01	< 0.005	—	164
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	4.83	4.83	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	4.84
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	22.3	22.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	22.3
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	27.1	27.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	27.2

4.2.4. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	29.2	29.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	29.3
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	0.01	0.01	0.11	0.09	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	135	135	0.01	< 0.005	—	135
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

Total	0.02	0.01	0.14	0.12	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	164	164	0.01	< 0.005	—	164
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	29.2	29.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	29.3
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	0.01	0.01	0.11	0.09	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	135	135	0.01	< 0.005	—	135
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.02	0.01	0.14	0.12	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	164	164	0.01	< 0.005	—	164
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	4.83	4.83	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	4.84
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	22.3	22.3	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	22.3
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	27.1	27.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	27.2

4.3. Area Emissions by Source

4.3.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Source	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
--------	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-------	-------	-------	--------	--------	--------	------	-------	------	-----	-----	---	------

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer Products	0.57	0.57	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	0.09	0.09	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Landscape Equipment	0.20	0.18	0.01	1.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	4.52	4.52	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	4.54
Total	0.86	0.84	0.01	1.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	4.52	4.52	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	4.54
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer Products	0.57	0.57	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	0.09	0.09	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	0.66	0.66	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer Products	0.10	0.10	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	0.02	0.02	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Landscape	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	0.51	0.51	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.51
Total	0.15	0.14	< 0.005	0.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	0.51	0.51	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.51

4.3.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Source	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer Products	0.57	0.57	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	0.09	0.09	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Landscape Equipment	0.20	0.18	0.01	1.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	4.52	4.52	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	4.54
Total	0.86	0.84	0.01	1.10	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	4.52	4.52	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	4.54
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer Products	0.57	0.57	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	0.09	0.09	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	0.66	0.66	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Consum Products	0.10	0.10	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architect ural Coatings	0.02	0.02	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Landscape Equipm ent	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	0.51	0.51	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.51
Total	0.15	0.14	< 0.005	0.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	0.51	0.51	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.51

4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

4.4.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.12	3.81	4.94	0.12	< 0.005	—	8.66
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9.75	33.1	42.8	1.00	0.02	—	75.1
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	10.9	36.9	47.8	1.12	0.03	—	83.7
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

General Office Building	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.12	3.81	4.94	0.12	< 0.005	—	8.66
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9.75	33.1	42.8	1.00	0.02	—	75.1
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	10.9	36.9	47.8	1.12	0.03	—	83.7
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.19	0.63	0.82	0.02	< 0.005	—	1.43
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.61	5.48	7.09	0.17	< 0.005	—	12.4
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.80	6.11	7.91	0.19	< 0.005	—	13.9

4.4.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.12	3.81	4.94	0.12	< 0.005	—	8.66

Unrefrig Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9.75	33.1	42.8	1.00	0.02	—	75.1
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	10.9	36.9	47.8	1.12	0.03	—	83.7
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.12	3.81	4.94	0.12	< 0.005	—	8.66
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	9.75	33.1	42.8	1.00	0.02	—	75.1
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	10.9	36.9	47.8	1.12	0.03	—	83.7
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.19	0.63	0.82	0.02	< 0.005	—	1.43
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.61	5.48	7.09	0.17	< 0.005	—	12.4
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.80	6.11	7.91	0.19	< 0.005	—	13.9

4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

4.5.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.65	0.00	1.65	0.17	0.00	—	5.79
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	11.1	0.00	11.1	1.11	0.00	—	39.0
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	12.8	0.00	12.8	1.28	0.00	—	44.8
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.65	0.00	1.65	0.17	0.00	—	5.79
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	11.1	0.00	11.1	1.11	0.00	—	39.0
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	12.8	0.00	12.8	1.28	0.00	—	44.8

Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.27	0.00	0.27	0.03	0.00	—	0.96
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.85	0.00	1.85	0.18	0.00	—	6.46
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.12	0.00	2.12	0.21	0.00	—	7.41

4.5.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.65	0.00	1.65	0.17	0.00	—	5.79
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	11.1	0.00	11.1	1.11	0.00	—	39.0
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	12.8	0.00	12.8	1.28	0.00	—	44.8
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

General Office Building	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.65	0.00	1.65	0.17	0.00	—	5.79
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	11.1	0.00	11.1	1.11	0.00	—	39.0
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	12.8	0.00	12.8	1.28	0.00	—	44.8
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.27	0.00	0.27	0.03	0.00	—	0.96
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.85	0.00	1.85	0.18	0.00	—	6.46
Other Asphalt Surfaces	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.12	0.00	2.12	0.21	0.00	—	7.41

4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

4.6.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e	
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

General Office Building	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	< 0.005	< 0.005
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	< 0.005	< 0.005

4.6.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e	
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01	
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01	
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01	

Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
General Office Building	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	< 0.005	< 0.005
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	< 0.005	< 0.005

4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

4.7.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.7.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

4.8.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipm ent Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.8.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipm ent Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
-----------------	-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-------	-------	-------	--------	--------	--------	------	-------	------	-----	-----	---	------

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

4.9.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.9.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipm ent	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Vegetati on	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Species	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.4. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Vegetation	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.5. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.6. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Species	TOG	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
Site Preparation	Site Preparation	2/1/2022	2/14/2022	5.00	10.0	—

Grading	Grading	2/15/2022	3/21/2022	5.00	25.0	—
Building Construction	Building Construction	3/22/2022	9/5/2022	5.00	120	—
Paving	Paving	9/1/2022	11/2/2022	5.00	45.0	—
Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	10/3/2022	11/25/2022	5.00	40.0	—

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	367	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes	Diesel	Average	4.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Grading	Excavators	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.40
Building Construction	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	82.0	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	14.0	0.74
Building Construction	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	7.00	367	0.29
Building Construction	Welders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	46.0	0.45
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes	Diesel	Average	3.00	7.00	84.0	0.37
Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	81.0	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	89.0	0.36
Paving	Rollers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	37.0	0.48

5.2.2. Mitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	367	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes	Diesel	Average	4.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Grading	Excavators	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.40
Building Construction	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	82.0	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	14.0	0.74
Building Construction	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	7.00	367	0.29
Building Construction	Welders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	46.0	0.45
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes	Diesel	Average	3.00	7.00	84.0	0.37
Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	81.0	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	89.0	0.36
Paving	Rollers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	37.0	0.48

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Trip Type	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Site Preparation	—	—	—	—
Site Preparation	Worker	17.5	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Site Preparation	Hauling	57.2	20.0	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT

Grading	—	—	—	—
Grading	Worker	15.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	57.2	20.0	HHDT
Grading	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Building Construction	—	—	—	—
Building Construction	Worker	10.3	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction	Vendor	4.15	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Paving	—	—	—	—
Paving	Worker	15.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Architectural Coating	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coating	Worker	2.06	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT

5.3.2. Mitigated

Phase Name	Trip Type	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Site Preparation	—	—	—	—
Site Preparation	Worker	17.5	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Site Preparation	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Site Preparation	Hauling	57.2	20.0	HHDT
Site Preparation	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT

Grading	—	—	—	—
Grading	Worker	15.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	57.2	20.0	HHDT
Grading	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Building Construction	—	—	—	—
Building Construction	Worker	10.3	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction	Vendor	4.15	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Paving	—	—	—	—
Paving	Worker	15.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Architectural Coating	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coating	Worker	2.06	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

5.5. Architectural Coatings

Phase Name	Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
------------	--	--	--	--	-----------------------------

Architectural Coating	0.00	0.00	37,500	12,500	24,843
-----------------------	------	------	--------	--------	--------

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

Phase Name	Material Imported (Cubic Yards)	Material Exported (Cubic Yards)	Acres Graded (acres)	Material Demolished (sq. ft.)	Acres Paved (acres)
Site Preparation	0.00	4,570	15.0	0.00	—
Grading	0.00	11,430	75.0	0.00	—
Paving	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.62

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

5.7. Construction Paving

Land Use	Area Paved (acres)	% Asphalt
General Office Building	0.00	0%
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	0.00	0%
Other Asphalt Surfaces	8.62	100%

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

Year	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
2022	0.00	349	0.03	< 0.005

5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

5.9.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VM/Weekday	VM/Saturday	VM/Sunday	VM/Year
General Office Building	31.0	31.0	31.0	11,315	604	604	604	220,572
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	12.0	12.0	12.0	4,380	479	479	479	174,762
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5.9.2. Mitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VM/Weekday	VM/Saturday	VM/Sunday	VM/Year
General Office Building	31.0	31.0	31.0	11,315	604	604	604	220,572
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	12.0	12.0	12.0	4,380	479	479	479	174,762
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

5.10.1.1. Unmitigated

5.10.1.2. Mitigated

5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
0	0.00	37,950	12,650	22,529

5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	250

5.10.4. Landscape Equipment - Mitigated

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	250

5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

5.11.1. Unmitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
General Office Building	57,562	349	0.0330	0.0040	91,036
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	101,252	349	0.0330	0.0040	420,028
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	349	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

5.11.2. Mitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
General Office Building	57,562	349	0.0330	0.0040	91,036
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	101,252	349	0.0330	0.0040	420,028
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	349	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

5.12.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
General Office Building	586,521	0.00
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	5,087,500	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00

5.12.2. Mitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
General Office Building	586,521	0.00
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	5,087,500	0.00
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00

5.13. Operational Waste Generation

5.13.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
General Office Building	3.07	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	20.7	—
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	—

5.13.2. Mitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
General Office Building	3.07	—
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	20.7	—
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	—

5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

5.14.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
General Office Building	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.02	0.60	0.00	1.00
General Office Building	Other commercial A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	4.00	4.00	18.0

5.14.2. Mitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
General Office Building	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.02	0.60	0.00	1.00
General Office Building	Other commercial A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	4.00	4.00	18.0

5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

5.15.1. Unmitigated

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
----------------	-----------	-------------	----------------	---------------	------------	-------------

5.15.2. Mitigated

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
----------------	-----------	-------------	----------------	---------------	------------	-------------

5.16. Stationary Sources

5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number per Day	Hours per Day	Hours per Year	Horsepower	Load Factor
----------------	-----------	----------------	---------------	----------------	------------	-------------

5.16.2. Process Boilers

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number	Boiler Rating (MMBtu/hr)	Daily Heat Input (MMBtu/day)	Annual Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)
----------------	-----------	--------	--------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------------

5.17. User Defined

Equipment Type	Fuel Type
----------------	-----------

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
--------------------------	----------------------	---------------	-------------

5.18.1.2. Mitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
--------------------------	----------------------	---------------	-------------

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
--------------------	---------------	-------------

5.18.1.2. Mitigated

Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
--------------------	---------------	-------------

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

Tree Type	Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)
-----------	--------	------------------------------	------------------------------

5.18.2.2. Mitigated

Tree Type	Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)
-----------	--------	------------------------------	------------------------------

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

Climate Hazard	Result for Project Location	Unit
Temperature and Extreme Heat	26.6	annual days of extreme heat
Extreme Precipitation	2.85	annual days with precipitation above 20 mm
Sea Level Rise	—	meters of inundation depth
Wildfire	10.3	annual hectares burned

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about ¾ an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (Radke et al., 2017, CEC-500-2017-008), and consider inundation location and depth for the San Francisco Bay, the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta and California coast resulting different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events. Users may select from four scenarios to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four scenarios are: No rise, 0.5 meter, 1.0 meter, 1.41 meters

Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	3	0	0	N/A
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	0	0	N/A
Wildfire	1	0	0	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	0	0	0	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	3	1	1	3
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	1	1	2
Wildfire	1	1	1	2
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	1	1	1	2

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Exposure Indicators	—
AQ-Ozone	93.6
AQ-PM	43.7
AQ-DPM	68.8
Drinking Water	65.6
Lead Risk Housing	33.3
Pesticides	0.00
Toxic Releases	23.7
Traffic	55.1
Effect Indicators	—
CleanUp Sites	0.00
Groundwater	0.00
Haz Waste Facilities/Generators	63.1
Impaired Water Bodies	0.00
Solid Waste	0.00
Sensitive Population	—
Asthma	62.9
Cardio-vascular	78.0
Low Birth Weights	64.5
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	—

Education	59.6
Housing	94.4
Linguistic	55.1
Poverty	82.9
Unemployment	88.4

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Economic	—
Above Poverty	9.29038881
Employed	1.437187219
Median HI	2.361093289
Education	—
Bachelor's or higher	11.81829847
High school enrollment	100
Preschool enrollment	58.10342615
Transportation	—
Auto Access	8.828435776
Active commuting	70.56332606
Social	—
2-parent households	18.29847299
Voting	30.64288464
Neighborhood	—
Alcohol availability	48.10727576
Park access	81.35506224
Retail density	70.67881432
Supermarket access	63.09508533

Tree canopy	3.041190812
Housing	—
Homeownership	34.58231746
Housing habitability	27.11407674
Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden	15.30861029
Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	22.23790581
Uncrowded housing	68.66418581
Health Outcomes	—
Insured adults	37.80315668
Arthritis	0.7
Asthma ER Admissions	48.9
High Blood Pressure	0.9
Cancer (excluding skin)	1.1
Asthma	14.8
Coronary Heart Disease	0.5
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	0.5
Diagnosed Diabetes	4.9
Life Expectancy at Birth	1.6
Cognitively Disabled	4.2
Physically Disabled	0.4
Heart Attack ER Admissions	22.0
Mental Health Not Good	31.0
Chronic Kidney Disease	0.8
Obesity	26.2
Pedestrian Injuries	97.4
Physical Health Not Good	7.7
Stroke	0.6
Health Risk Behaviors	—

Binge Drinking	96.8
Current Smoker	32.9
No Leisure Time for Physical Activity	10.6
Climate Change Exposures	—
Wildfire Risk	0.0
SLR Inundation Area	0.0
Children	55.0
Elderly	1.8
English Speaking	71.9
Foreign-born	20.1
Outdoor Workers	98.2
Climate Change Adaptive Capacity	—
Impervious Surface Cover	43.7
Traffic Density	47.9
Traffic Access	23.0
Other Indices	—
Hardship	80.5
Other Decision Support	—
2016 Voting	36.1

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	64.0
Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	7.00
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	No
Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	Yes
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

- a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.
- b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected.

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health & Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed.

7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

No Health & Equity Custom Measures created.

8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification
Construction: Construction Phases	modified construction schedule based contractor schedule
Construction: Architectural Coatings	SCAQMD Rule 1113 limits VOC to 50 g/L
Operations: Vehicle Data	passenger vehicles modeled under general office 31 trips / 3.3 sf = 9.393939393939394 Trucks modeled under warehouse 12 trips / 22 = 0.5454545454545455. Delivery trip length updated to 39.9 based on SCAQMD study results
Operations: Fleet Mix	Truck fleet mix modeled under warehouse. uses CalEEMod percentages
Construction: Dust From Material Movement	export 16,000 CY soil

Air Quality Assessment
JD Fields Pipe Facility Project
City of Hemet, California

Prepared by:

Kimley»»Horn

Expect More. Experience Better.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

1100 W. Town and Country Road, Suite 700

Orange, California 92868

Contact: Mr. Ace Malisos

Ms. Elena Ajdari

714.939.1030

August 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Location..... 1

1.2 Project Description 1

2 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

2.1 Climate and Meterology 6

2.2 Air Pollutants of Concern 7

2.3 Sensitive Receptors..... 9

3 REGULATORY SETTING

3.1 Federal 10

3.2 State of California 10

3.3 Regional 12

3.4 Local 14

4 SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA AND METHODOLOGY

4.1 Air Quality Thresholds 15

4.2 Methodology 16

5 POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION

5.1 Air Quality Analysis 18

6 REFERENCES

References..... 27

TABLES

Table 1 Air Contaminants and Associated Public Health Concerns 7

Table 2 Ambient Air Quality Data 9

Table 3 State and Federal Ambient Air Quality Standards..... 11

Table 4 South Coast Air Basin Attainment Status 13

Table 5 South Coast Air Quality Management District Emissions Thresholds 15

Table 6 Local Significance Thresholds for Construction/Operations 16

Table 7 Construction-Related Emissions..... 20

Table 8 Operational Emissions 20

Table 9 Equipment-Specific Grading Rates 23

Table 10 Localized Significance of Construction Emissions 23

Table 11 Localized Significance of Operational Emissions 24

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

EXHIBITS

Exhibit 1 Regional Vicinity 3
Exhibit 2 Site Vicinity..... 4
Exhibit 3 Conceptual Site Plan 5

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Air Quality Modeling Data

LIST OF ABBREVIATED TERMS

AQMP	air quality management plan
AB	Assembly Bill
ADT	average daily traffic
CAPCOA	California Air Pollution Control Officers Association
CARB	California Air Resources Board
CAAQS	California Ambient Air Quality Standards
CCAA	California Clean Air Act
CalEEMod	California Emissions Estimator Model
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CO	carbon monoxide
cy	cubic yards
DPM	diesel particulate matter
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FCAA	Federal Clean Air Act
H ₂ S	hydrogen sulfide
Pb	lead
LST	local significance threshold
µg/m ³	micrograms per cubic meter
mg/m ³	milligrams per cubic meter
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NO ₂	nitrogen dioxide
NO _x	nitrogen oxide
O ₃	ozone
PM ₁₀	particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter
PM _{2.5}	particulate matter less than 2.5 microns in diameter
ppm	parts per million
ROG	reactive organic gases
RTP/SCS	Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy
SB	Senate Bill
SRA	source receptor area
SCAB	South Coast Air Basin
SCAQMD	South Coast Air Quality Management District
SCAG	Southern California Association of Governments
sf	square foot
SO ₄₋₂	sulfates
SO ₂	sulfur dioxide
TAC	toxic air contaminant
C ₂ H ₃ Cl	vinyl chloride
VOC	volatile organic compound

1 INTRODUCTION

This report documents the results of an Air Quality Assessment completed for the Hemet Foxgate Warehouse (Project). The purpose of this Air Quality Assessment is to evaluate the potential construction and operational emissions associated with the Project and determine the level of impact the Project would have on the environment.

1.1 Project Location

The proposed JD Fields Pipe Facility Project (Project) encompasses approximately 9.2 acres. The Project site is located in the City of Hemet, on the east side of S. Gilmore Street and approximately 700 feet south of W. Acacia Avenue; refer to [Exhibit 1: Local Vicinity Map](#). Local access to the Project site is provided S. Gilmore Street. Regional access is provided by State Route 74 (SR-74), which connects to the Interstate 215 (I-215) to the west and State Route 79 (SR-79), which connects to the Interstate 10 (I-10) to the north; refer to [Exhibit 2: Regional Vicinity Map](#). Additionally, the property is located on the United States Geological Survey (USGS) 7.5-Minute Series Topographic Map, Hemet, California-Riverside County Quadrangle.

1.2 Project Description

The existing 9.2-acre site is currently vacant and unimproved. The Project proposes the development of a 25,000 square foot (sq. ft.) metal/prefab modular warehouse building consisting of 22,000 sq. ft. warehouse space and 3,300 sq. ft. office, an approximately 11,961 sq. ft. detention basin, 60 parking stalls, truck trailer parking, loading and off-loading docks, interior drives, a 7.0 acres laydown or outdoor storage facility, perimeter fencing, and landscaping; refer to [Exhibit 3: Conceptual Site Plan](#). The proposed warehouse facility is anticipated to be utilized by the owner/operator, JD Fields & Company, for receipt/delivery, storage, fabrication, and distribution of steel/Polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipe, steel piling, plumping equipment, valves, and flanges. However, the facility would exclude retail sale of any products fabricated and/or stored on site. This project intends to employ approximately 50 on-site office/warehouse workers of various construction trades (skilled labor), including a professional sales staff, and may operate twenty-four (24) hours a day, seven (7) days a week.

The proposed Project is consistent with the General Plan land use designation of Industrial (I) which allows for a range of manufacturing, business office, assembly, fabrication, construction, transportation, logistics, and auto repair uses. The proposed Project is also consistent with existing Zoning of General Manufacturing (M-2), which permits a range of manufacturing and processing uses, including the proposed pipe fabrication and storage use.

Site Access

Regional access is provided by SR-74, which connects to I-215 to the west and SR-79, which connects to I-10 to the north. Truck, passenger, and emergency vehicle access would be provided via three (3) gated access driveways along S. Gilmore Street.

Fencing

The Project would incorporate three (3) entry gates and 6' high perimeter security fencing.

Parking

Pursuant to §90-1423 of the Hemet Zoning Code, the number of parking spaces required for manufacturing or industrial establishments, including offices is 1 space for each 500 square feet of gross floor area. The total square footage of the proposed warehouse building is 25,000 square feet; therefore, the Project would be required to provide at least 50 parking spaces. The Project proposes 60 parking spaces, which would exceed the minimum required number by ten (10) spaces.

Hours of Operation

The Project is anticipated to employ approximately 50 on-site office/warehouse workers of various construction trades (skilled labor), including a professional sales staff, and may operate twenty-four (24) hours a day, seven (7) days a week.

Construction and Operation

The Project would be constructed in one phase. For analysis purposes, construction is anticipated to commence construction in early 2022 and would begin operation by mid to late 2022.

Existing General Plan Land Use and Zoning Designations

The City's 2030 General Plan was adopted on January 24, 2012 and the Zoning Code (Chapter 90 of the Hemet Municipal Code [MC]) was adopted in 1984 via Ordinance No. 621). Both documents have been periodically amended and/or revised since the time of adoption. Zoning is the primary mechanism for implementing the General Plan. It provides detailed regulations pertaining to permitted and conditional uses, site development standards, and performance criteria to implement the goals and policies of the General Plan. In particular, the Land Use Element of the City's GP establishes the primary basis for consistency with the City's Zoning Code. The City's Zoning Map corresponds with the General Plan designations. The Project is located within the Industrial (I) General Plan Land Use Designation and the General Manufacturing (M-2) Zone.^{1, 2}

¹ City of Hemet, *2030 General Plan*, Chapter 2: Land Use, Figure 2.1 Land Use Plan, January 24, 2012, Retrieved from City of Hemet's Website: https://www.hemetca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/5329/2_Land_Use_web5142019?bidId=, Accessed June 21, 2021.

² City of Hemet. *Zoning Map*. Available at <https://www.hemetca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/5289/official-zoning-map1222019?bidId=>, accessed on June 21, 2021.




EXHIBIT 1: Regional Location Map
Hemet Warehouse Project
City of Hemet






EXHIBIT 2: Project Vicinity Map
Hemet Warehouse Project
City of Hemet



2 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

2.1 Climate and Meteorology

The California Air Resources Board (CARB) divides the State into 15 air basins that share similar meteorological and topographical features. The Project is located within the South Coast Air Basin (SCAB), which includes the non-desert portions of Los Angeles, Riverside, and San Bernardino counties, as well as all of Orange County. The SCAB is on a coastal plain with connecting broad valleys and low hills, bounded by the Pacific Ocean on the southwest and high mountains forming the remainder of the perimeter³. Air quality in this area is determined by such natural factors as topography, meteorology, and climate, in addition to the presence of existing air pollution sources and ambient conditions. These factors along with applicable regulations are discussed below.

The SCAB is part of a semi-permanent high-pressure zone in the eastern Pacific. As a result, the climate is mild and tempered by cool sea breezes. This usually mild weather pattern is occasionally interrupted by periods of extreme heat, winter storms, and Santa Ana winds. The annual average temperature throughout the 6,645-square-mile SCAB ranges from low 60 to high 80 degrees Fahrenheit with little variance. With more oceanic influence, coastal areas show less variability in annual minimum and maximum temperatures than inland areas.

Contrasting the steady pattern of temperature, rainfall is seasonally and annually highly variable. Almost all annual rainfall occurs between the months of November and April. Summer rainfall is reduced to widely scattered thundershowers near the coast, with slightly heavier activity in the east and over the mountains.

Although the SCAB has a semiarid climate, the air closer to the Earth's surface is typically moist because of the presence of a shallow marine layer. Except for occasional periods when dry, continental air is brought into the SCAB by offshore winds, the "ocean effect" is dominant. Periods of heavy fog are frequent and low clouds known as high fog are characteristic climatic features, especially along the coast. Annual average humidity is 70 percent at the coast and 57 percent in the eastern portions of the SCAB.

Wind patterns across the SCAB are characterized by westerly or southwesterly on-shore winds during the day and easterly or northeasterly breezes at night. Wind speed is typically higher during the dry summer months than during the rainy winter. Between periods of wind, air stagnation may occur in both the morning and evening hours. Air stagnation is one of the critical determinants of air quality conditions on any given day. During winter and fall, surface high-pressure systems over the SCAB, combined with other meteorological conditions, result in very strong, downslope Santa Ana winds. These winds normally continue for a few days before predominant meteorological conditions are reestablished.

The mountain ranges to the east affect the diffusion of pollutants by inhibiting the eastward transport of pollutants. Air quality in the SCAB generally ranges from fair to poor and is similar to air quality in most of coastal Southern California. The entire region experiences heavy concentrations of air pollutants during prolonged periods of stable atmospheric conditions.

In addition to the characteristic wind patterns that affect the rate and orientation of horizontal pollutant transport, two distinct types of temperature inversions control the vertical depth through which air pollutants are mixed. These inversions are the marine inversion and the radiation inversion. The height of

³ South Coast Air Quality Management District, *CEQA Air Quality Handbook*, 1993.

the base of the inversion at any given time is called the “mixing height.” The combination of winds and inversions is a critical determinant leading to highly degraded air quality for the SCAB in the summer and generally good air quality in the winter.

2.2 Air Pollutants of Concern

The air pollutants emitted into the ambient air by stationary and mobile sources are regulated by state and federal laws. These regulated air pollutants are known as “criteria air pollutants” and are categorized into primary and secondary pollutants.

Primary air pollutants are emitted directly from sources. Carbon monoxide (CO), reactive organic gases (ROG), nitrogen oxide (NO_x), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), coarse particulate matter (PM₁₀), fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}), and lead are primary air pollutants. Of these, CO, NO_x, SO₂, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} are criteria pollutants. ROG and NO_x are criteria pollutant precursors and form secondary criteria pollutants through chemical and photochemical reactions in the atmosphere. For example, the criteria pollutant ozone (O₃) is formed by a chemical reaction between ROG and NO_x in the presence of sunlight. O₃ and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) are the principal secondary pollutants. Sources and health effects commonly associated with criteria pollutants are summarized in [Table 1: Air Contaminants and Associated Public Health Concerns](#).

Pollutant	Major Man-Made Sources	Human Health Effects
Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5})	Power plants, steel mills, chemical plants, unpaved roads and parking lots, wood-burning stoves and fireplaces, automobiles and others.	Increased respiratory symptoms, such as irritation of the airways, coughing, or difficulty breathing; asthma; chronic bronchitis; irregular heartbeat; nonfatal heart attacks; and premature death in people with heart or lung disease. Impairs visibility.
Ozone (O ₃)	Formed by a chemical reaction between reactive organic gases/volatile organic compounds (ROG or VOC) ¹ and nitrogen oxides (NO _x) in the presence of sunlight. Motor vehicle exhaust industrial emissions, gasoline storage and transport, solvents, paints and landfills.	Irritates and causes inflammation of the mucous membranes and lung airways; causes wheezing, coughing, and pain when inhaling deeply; decreases lung capacity; aggravates lung and heart problems. Damages plants; reduces crop yield.
Sulfur Dioxide (SO ₂)	A colorless gas formed when fuel containing sulfur is burned and when gasoline is extracted from oil. Examples are petroleum refineries, cement manufacturing, metal processing facilities, locomotives, and ships.	Respiratory irritant. Aggravates lung and heart problems. In the presence of moisture and oxygen, sulfur dioxide converts to sulfuric acid which can damage marble, iron and steel. Damages crops and natural vegetation. Impairs visibility. Precursor to acid rain.
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	An odorless, colorless gas formed when carbon in fuel is not burned completely; a component of motor vehicle exhaust.	Reduces the ability of blood to deliver oxygen to vital tissues, affecting the cardiovascular and nervous system. Impairs vision, causes dizziness, and can lead to unconsciousness or death.
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂)	A reddish-brown gas formed during fuel combustion for motor vehicles and industrial sources. Sources include motor vehicles, electric utilities, and other sources that burn fuel.	Respiratory irritant; aggravates lung and heart problems. Precursor to O ₃ . Contributes to global warming and nutrient overloading which deteriorates water quality. Causes brown discoloration of the atmosphere.
Lead (Pb)	Lead is a metal found naturally in the environment as well as in manufactured products. The major sources of lead	Exposure to lead occurs mainly through inhalation of air and ingestion of lead in food, water, soil, or dust. It accumulates in the blood,

Pollutant	Major Man-Made Sources	Human Health Effects
	emissions have historically been motor vehicles (such as cars and trucks) and industrial sources. Due to the phase out of leaded gasoline, metals processing is the major source of lead emissions to the air today. The highest levels of lead in air are generally found near lead smelters. Other stationary sources are waste incinerators, utilities, and lead-acid battery manufacturers.	bones, and soft tissues and can adversely affect the kidneys, liver, nervous system, and other organs. Excessive exposure to lead may cause neurological impairments such as seizures, mental retardation, and behavioral disorders. Even at low doses, lead exposure is associated with damage to the nervous systems of fetuses and young children, resulting in learning deficits and lowered IQ.
¹ Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs or Reactive Organic Gases [ROG]) are hydrocarbons/organic gases that are formed solely of hydrogen and carbon. There are several subsets of organic gases including ROG and VOCs. Both ROG and VOCs are emitted from the incomplete combustion of hydrocarbons or other carbon-based fuels. The major sources of hydrocarbons are combustion engine exhaust, oil refineries, and oil-fueled power plants; other common sources are petroleum fuels, solvents, dry cleaning solutions, and paint (via evaporation).		
Source: California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA), <i>Health Effects</i> , http://www.capcoa.org/health-effects/ , Accessed October 18, 2021.		

Toxic Air Contaminants

Toxic air contaminants (TACs) are airborne substances that can cause short-term (acute) or long-term (i.e. chronic, carcinogenic or cancer causing) adverse human health effects (i.e. injury or illness). TACs include both organic and inorganic chemical substances. They may be emitted from a variety of common sources including gasoline stations, automobiles, dry cleaners, industrial operations, and painting operations. The current California list of TACs includes more than 200 compounds, including particulate emissions from diesel-fueled engines.

CARB identified diesel particulate matter (DPM) as a toxic air contaminant. DPM differs from other TACs in that it is not a single substance but rather a complex mixture of hundreds of substances. Diesel exhaust is a complex mixture of particles and gases produced when an engine burns diesel fuel. DPM is a concern because it causes lung cancer; many compounds found in diesel exhaust are carcinogenic. DPM includes the particle-phase constituents in diesel exhaust. The chemical composition and particle sizes of DPM vary between different engine types (heavy-duty, light-duty), engine operating conditions (idle, accelerate, decelerate), fuel formulations (high/low sulfur fuel), and the year of the engine. Some short-term (acute) effects of diesel exhaust include eye, nose, throat, and lung irritation, and diesel exhaust can cause coughs, headaches, light-headedness, and nausea. DPM poses the greatest health risk among the TACs. Almost all diesel exhaust particle mass is 10 microns or less in diameter. Due to their extremely small size, these particles can be inhaled and eventually trapped in the bronchial and alveolar regions of the lung.

Ambient Air Quality

CARB monitors ambient air quality at approximately 250 air monitoring stations across the State. These stations usually measure pollutant concentrations ten feet above ground level; therefore, air quality is often referred to in terms of ground-level concentrations. Existing levels of ambient air quality, historical trends, and projections near the Project are documented by measurements made by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD), the air pollution regulatory agency in the SCAB that maintains air quality monitoring stations which process ambient air quality measurements.

Pollutants of concern in the SCAB include O₃, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5}. The closest air monitoring station to the Project that monitors ambient concentrations of O₃ and PM_{2.5} is the Winchester Monitoring Station

(located approximately 11.5 miles to the southwest). The second nearest monitoring station which monitors the concentration of NO₂ and PM₁₀ is the Banning Airport Monitoring Station located approximately 14.5 miles to the northeast. Local air quality data from 2018 to 2020 are provided in [Table 2: Ambient Air Quality Data](#), which lists the monitored maximum concentrations and number of exceedances of state or federal air quality standards for each year.

Table 2: Ambient Air Quality Data			
Criteria Pollutant	2018	2019	2020
Ozone (O₃)¹			
1-hour Maximum Concentration (ppm)	0.107	0.091	0.108
8-hour Maximum Concentration (ppm)	0.085	0.079	0.091
<i>Number of Days Standard Exceeded</i>			
CAAQS 1-hour (>0.09 ppm)	2	0	5
NAAQS 8-hour (>0.070 ppm)	15	6	37
Carbon Monoxide (CO)			
1-hour Maximum Concentration (ppm)	2.571	1.983	1.847
<i>Number of Days Standard Exceeded</i>			
NAAQS 1-hour (>35 ppm)	0	0	0
CAAQS 1-hour (>20 ppm)	0	0	0
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂)²			
1-hour Maximum Concentration (ppm)	0.0506	0.0560	0.0511
<i>Number of Days Standard Exceeded</i>			
NAAQS 1-hour (>0.100 ppm)	0	0	0
CAAQS 1-hour (>0.18 ppm)	0	0	0
Particulate Matter Less Than 10 Microns (PM₁₀)²			
National 24-hour Maximum Concentration	39.3	63.8	69.3
State 24-hour Maximum Concentration	36.3	58.8	63.9
State Annual Average Concentration (CAAQS=20 µg/m ³)	—	—	—
<i>Number of Days Standard Exceeded</i>			
NAAQS 24-hour (>150 µg/m ³)	0	0	0
CAAQS 24-hour (>50 µg/m ³)	0	2	1
Particulate Matter Less Than 2.5 Microns (PM_{2.5})¹			
National 24-hour Maximum Concentration	—	—	—
State 24-hour Maximum Concentration	26.5	17.0	37.1
<i>Number of Days Standard Exceeded</i>			
NAAQS 24-hour (>35 µg/m ³)	—	—	—
NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standards; CAAQS = California Ambient Air Quality Standards; ppm = parts per million; µg/m ³ = micrograms per cubic meter; — = not measured			
¹ Measurements taken at the Winchester Monitoring Station at 33700 Borel Road, Winchester, California 92596			
² Measurements taken at the Banning Airport Monitoring Station at 200 S. Hathaway Street, Banning, California 92220			
Source: All pollutant measurements are from the CARB Aerometric Data Analysis and Management system database (https://www.arb.ca.gov/adam) except for CO, which were retrieved from the CARB Air Quality and Meteorological Information System (https://www.arb.ca.gov/aqmis2/aqdselect.php).			

2.3 Sensitive Receptors

Sensitive populations are more susceptible to the effects of air pollution than is the general population. Sensitive receptors that are in proximity to localized sources of toxics are of particular concern. Land uses considered sensitive receptors include residences, schools, playgrounds, childcare centers, long-term health care facilities, rehabilitation centers, convalescent centers, and retirement homes. The nearest sensitive receptors are the existing mobile-home community located approximately 70 feet to the west of the Project site. In addition, there are residential residences located approximately 130 feet from the southern boundary of the Project site.

3 REGULATORY SETTING

3.1 Federal

Federal Clean Air Act

Air quality is federally protected by the Federal Clean Air Act (FCAA) and its amendments. Under the FCAA, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) developed the primary and secondary National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for the criteria air pollutants including O₃, NO₂, CO, SO₂, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, and lead. Proposed Projects in or near nonattainment areas could be subject to more stringent air-permitting requirements. The FCAA requires each state to prepare a State Implementation Plan to demonstrate how it will attain the NAAQS within the federally imposed deadlines.

The EPA can withhold certain transportation funds from states that fail to comply with the planning requirements of the FCAA. If a state fails to correct these planning deficiencies within two years of Federal notification, the EPA is required to develop a Federal implementation plan for the identified nonattainment area or areas. The provisions of 40 Code of Federal Regulations Parts 51 and 93 apply in all nonattainment and maintenance areas for transportation-related criteria pollutants for which the area is designated nonattainment or has a maintenance plan. The EPA has designated enforcement of air pollution control regulations to the individual states. Applicable federal standards are summarized in [Table 3: State and Federal Ambient Air Quality Standards](#).

3.2 State of California

California Air Resources Board

CARB administers the air quality policy in California. The California Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS) were established in 1969 pursuant to the Mulford-Carrell Act. These standards, included with the NAAQS in [Table 3](#), are generally more stringent and apply to more pollutants than the NAAQS. In addition to the criteria pollutants, CAAQS have been established for visibility reducing particulates, hydrogen sulfide, and sulfates.

The California Clean Air Act (CCAA), which was approved in 1988, requires that each local air district prepare and maintain an Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP) to achieve compliance with CAAQS. These AQMPs also serve as the basis for the preparation of the State Implementation Plan for meeting federal clean air standards for the State of California. Like the EPA, CARB also designates areas within California as either attainment or nonattainment for each criteria pollutant based on whether the CAAQS have been achieved. Under the CCAA, areas are designated as nonattainment for a pollutant if air quality data shows that a state standard for the pollutant was violated at least once during the previous three calendar years. Exceedances that are affected by highly irregular or infrequent events such as wildfires, volcanoes, etc. are not considered violations of a state standard, and are not used as a basis for designating areas as nonattainment. The applicable State standards are summarized in [Table 3](#).

Table 3: State and Federal Ambient Air Quality Standards			
Pollutant	Averaging Time	State Standards¹	Federal Standards²
Ozone (O ₃) ^{2, 5, 7}	8 Hour	0.070 ppm (137 µg/m ³)	0.070 ppm
	1 Hour	0.09 ppm (180 µg/m ³)	NA
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	8 Hour	9.0 ppm (10 mg/m ³)	9 ppm (10 mg/m ³)
	1 Hour	20 ppm (23 mg/m ³)	35 ppm (40 mg/m ³)
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂)	1 Hour	0.18 ppm (339 µg/m ³)	0.10 ppm ¹¹
	Annual Arithmetic Mean	0.030 ppm (57 µg/m ³)	0.053 ppm (100 µg/m ³)
Sulfur Dioxide (SO ₂) ⁸	24 Hour	0.04 ppm (105 µg/m ³)	0.14 ppm (365 µg/m ³)
	1 Hour	0.25 ppm (655 µg/m ³)	0.075 ppm (196 µg/m ³)
	Annual Arithmetic Mean	NA	0.03 ppm (80 µg/m ³)
Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀) ^{1, 3, 6}	24-Hour	50 µg/m ³	150 µg/m ³
	Annual Arithmetic Mean	20 µg/m ³	NA
Fine Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5}) ^{3, 4, 6, 9}	24-Hour	NA	35 µg/m ³
	Annual Arithmetic Mean	12 µg/m ³	12 µg/m ³
Sulfates (SO ₄₋₂)	24 Hour	25 µg/m ³	NA
Lead (Pb) ^{10, 11}	30-Day Average	1.5 µg/m ³	NA
	Calendar Quarter	NA	1.5 µg/m ³
	Rolling 3-Month Average	NA	0.15 µg/m ³
Hydrogen Sulfide (H ₂ S)	1 Hour	0.03 ppm (42 µg/m ³)	NA
Vinyl Chloride (C ₂ H ₃ Cl) ¹⁰	24 Hour	0.01 ppm (26 µg/m ³)	NA

Notes:

ppm = parts per million; µg/m³ = micrograms per cubic meter; mg/m³ = milligrams per cubic meter; – = no information available.

¹ California standards for O₃, carbon monoxide (except Lake Tahoe), sulfur dioxide (1-hour and 24-hour), nitrogen dioxide, suspended particulate matter - PM₁₀, and visibility reducing particles are values that are not to be exceeded. The standards for sulfates, Lake Tahoe carbon monoxide, lead, hydrogen sulfide, and vinyl chloride are not to be equaled or exceeded. If the standard is for a 1-hour, 8-hour or 24-hour average (i.e. all standards except for lead and the PM₁₀ annual standard), then some measurements may be excluded. Measurements are excluded that CARB determines would occur less than once per year on the average. The Lake Tahoe carbon monoxide standard is 6.0 ppm, a level one-half the national standard and two-thirds the State standard.

² National standards shown are the "primary standards" designed to protect public health. National standards other than for O₃, particulates and those based on annual averages are not to be exceeded more than once a year. The 1-hour O₃ standard is attained if, during the most recent three-year period, the average number of days per year with maximum hourly concentrations above the standard is equal to or less than one. The 8-hour O₃ standard is attained when the 3-year average of the 4th highest daily concentrations is 0.070 ppm or less. The 24-hour PM₁₀ standard is attained when the 3-year average of the 99th percentile of monitored concentrations is less than 150 µg/m³. The 24-hour PM_{2.5} standard is attained when the 3-year average of 98th percentiles is less than 35 µg/m³.

³ Except for the national particulate standards, annual standards are met if the annual average falls below the standard at every site. The national annual particulate standard for PM₁₀ is met if the 3-year average falls below the standard at every site. The annual PM_{2.5} standard is met if the 3-year average of annual averages spatially-averaged across officially designed clusters of sites falls below the standard. NAAQS are set by the EPA at levels determined to be protective of public health with an adequate margin of safety.

⁴ On October 1, 2015, the national 8-hour O₃ primary and secondary standards were lowered from 0.075 to 0.070 ppm. An area will meet the standard if the fourth-highest maximum daily 8-hour O₃ concentration per year, averaged over three years, is equal to or less than 0.070 ppm. EPA will make recommendations on attainment designations by October 1, 2016, and issue final designations October 1, 2017. Nonattainment areas will have until 2020 to late 2037 to meet the health standard, with attainment dates varying based on the O₃ level in the area.

⁵ The national 1-hour O₃ standard was revoked by the EPA on June 15, 2005.

⁶ In June 2002, CARB established new annual standards for PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀.

⁷ The 8-hour California O₃ standard was approved by the CARB on April 28, 2005 and became effective on May 17, 2006.

⁸ On June 2, 2010, the EPA established a new 1-hour SO₂ standard, effective August 23, 2010, which is based on the 3-year average of the annual 99th percentile of 1-hour daily maximum concentrations. The existing 0.030 ppm annual and 0.14 ppm 24-hour SO₂ NAAQS however must continue to be used until one year following EPA initial designations of the new 1-hour SO₂ NAAQS.

⁹ In December 2012, EPA strengthened the annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS from 15.0 to 12.0 µg/m³. In December 2014, the EPA issued final area designations for the 2012 primary annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS. Areas designated "unclassifiable/attainment" must continue to take steps to prevent their air quality from deteriorating to unhealthy levels. The effective date of this standard is April 15, 2015.

¹⁰ CARB has identified lead and vinyl chloride as 'toxic air contaminants' with no threshold level of exposure below which there are no adverse health effects determined.

¹¹ National lead standard, rolling 3-month average: final rule signed October 15, 2008. Final designations effective December 31, 2011.

Source: South Coast Air Quality Management District, *Air Quality Management Plan*, 2016; California Air Resources Board, *Ambient Air Quality Standards*, May 6, 2016.

3.3 Regional

South Coast Air Quality Management District

The SCAQMD is the air pollution control agency for Orange County and the urban portions of Los Angeles, Riverside, and San Bernardino Counties. The agency's primary responsibility is ensuring that state and federal ambient air quality standards are attained and maintained in the SCAB. The SCAQMD is also responsible for adopting and enforcing rules and regulations concerning air pollutant sources, issuing permits for stationary sources of air pollutants, inspecting stationary sources of air pollutants, responding to citizen complaints, monitoring ambient air quality and meteorological conditions, awarding grants to reduce motor vehicle emissions, conducting public education campaigns, and many other activities. All projects are subject to SCAQMD rules and regulations in effect at the time of construction.

The SCAQMD is also the lead agency in charge of developing the AQMP, with input from the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) and CARB. The AQMP is a comprehensive plan that includes control strategies for stationary and area sources, as well as for on-road and off-road mobile sources. SCAG has the primary responsibility for providing future growth projections and the development and implementation of transportation control measures. CARB, in coordination with federal agencies, provides the control element for mobile sources.

The 2016 AQMP was adopted by the SCAQMD Governing Board on March 3, 2017. The purpose of the AQMP is to set forth a comprehensive and integrated program that would lead the SCAB into compliance with the federal 24-hour $PM_{2.5}$ air quality standard, and to provide an update to the SCAQMD's commitments towards meeting the federal 8-hour O_3 standards. The AQMP incorporates the latest scientific and technological information and planning assumptions, including the 2016 *Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS)* and updated emission inventory methodologies for various source categories.

The SCAQMD has published the *CEQA Air Quality Handbook* (approved by the SCAQMD Governing Board in 1993 and augmented with guidance for Local Significance Thresholds [LST] in 2008). The SCAQMD guidance helps local government agencies and consultants to develop environmental documents required by California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and provides identification of suggested thresholds of significance for criteria pollutants for both construction and operation (see discussion of thresholds below). With the help of the *CEQA Air Quality Handbook* and associated guidance, local land use planners and consultants are able to analyze and document how proposed and existing projects affect air quality in order to meet the requirements of the CEQA review process. The SCAQMD periodically provides supplemental guidance and updates to the handbook on their website.

The SCAG is the regional planning agency for Los Angeles, Orange, Ventura, Riverside, San Bernardino, and Imperial Counties and serves as a forum for regional issues relating to transportation, the economy, community development, and the environment. Under federal law, SCAG is designated as a Metropolitan Planning Organization and under State law as a Regional Transportation Planning Agency and a Council of Governments.

The state and federal attainment status designations for the SCAB are summarized in [Table 4: South Coast Air Basin Attainment Status](#). The SCAB is currently designated as a nonattainment area with respect to the State O_3 , PM_{10} , and $PM_{2.5}$ standards, as well as the national 8-hour O_3 and $PM_{2.5}$ standards. The SCAB is designated as attainment or unclassified for the remaining state and federal standards.

Pollutant	State	Federal
Ozone (O ₃) (1 Hour Standard)	Non-Attainment	Non-Attainment (Extreme)
Ozone (O ₃) (8 Hour Standard)	Non-Attainment	Non-Attainment (Extreme)
Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5}) (24 Hour Standard)	–	Non-Attainment (Serious)
Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5}) (Annual Standard)	Non-Attainment	Non-Attainment (Moderate)
Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀) (24 Hour Standard)	Non-Attainment	Attainment (Maintenance)
Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀) (Annual Standard)	Non-Attainment	–
Carbon Monoxide (CO) (1 Hour Standard)	Attainment	Attainment (Maintenance)
Carbon Monoxide (CO) (8 Hour Standard)	Attainment	Attainment (Maintenance)
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂) (1 Hour Standard)	Attainment	Unclassifiable/Attainment
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂) (Annual Standard)	Attainment	Attainment (Maintenance)
Sulfur Dioxide (SO ₂) (1 Hour Standard)	Attainment	Unclassifiable/Attainment
Sulfur Dioxide (SO ₂) (24 Hour Standard)	Attainment	–
Lead (Pb) (30 Day Standard)	–	Unclassifiable/Attainment
Lead (Pb) (3 Month Standard)	Attainment	–
Sulfates (SO ₄₋₂) (24 Hour Standard)	Attainment	–
Hydrogen Sulfide (H ₂ S) (1 Hour Standard)	Unclassified	–

Source: South Coast Air Quality Management District, *Air Quality Management Plan*, 2016; United States Environmental Protection Agency, *Nonattainment Areas for Criteria Pollutants (Green Book)*, 2018.

The following is a list of SCAQMD rules that are required of construction activities associated with the Project:

- Rule 402 (Nuisance)** – This rule prohibits the discharge from any source whatsoever such quantities of air contaminants or other material which cause injury, detriment, nuisance, or annoyance to any considerable number of persons or to the public, or which endanger the comfort, repose, health, or safety of any such persons or the public, or which cause, or have a natural tendency to cause, injury or damage to business or property. This rule does not apply to odors emanating from agricultural operations necessary for the growing of crops or the raising of fowl or animals.
- Rule 403 (Fugitive Dust)** – This rule requires fugitive dust sources to implement best available control measures for all sources, and all forms of visible particulate matter are prohibited from crossing any property line. This rule is intended to reduce PM₁₀ emissions from any transportation, handling, construction, or storage activity that has the potential to generate fugitive dust. PM₁₀ suppression techniques are summarized below.

- a) Portions of a construction site to remain inactive longer than a period of three months will be seeded and watered until grass cover is grown or otherwise stabilized.
 - b) All on-site roads will be paved as soon as feasible or watered periodically or chemically stabilized.
 - c) All material transported off-site will be either sufficiently watered or securely covered to prevent excessive amounts of dust.
 - d) The area disturbed by clearing, grading, earthmoving, or excavation operations will be minimized at all times.
 - e) Where vehicles leave a construction site and enter adjacent public streets, the streets will be swept daily or washed down at the end of the work day to remove soil tracked onto the paved surface.
- **Rule 1113 (Architectural Coatings)** – This rule requires manufacturers, distributors, and end users of architectural and industrial maintenance coatings to reduce ROG emissions from the use of these coatings, primarily by placing limits on the ROG content of various coating categories.

3.4 Local

City of Hemet General Plan

The City of Hemet General Plan Appendix F has compiled the goals and policies with the intent of fostering the overall health and well-being of the City's residents. The following policy is relevant to the Project:

Policy OS-7.1: **Air Pollution Reduction.** Reduce the amount of air pollution emissions from mobile and stationary and enhance the South Coast Air Basin by using best management practices in development proposals and project implementation.

4 SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA AND METHODOLOGY

4.1 Air Quality Thresholds

Based upon the criteria derived from Appendix G of the CEQA Guidelines, a Project normally would have a significant effect on the environment if it would:

- Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan.
- Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the Project region is in nonattainment under an applicable state or federal ambient air quality standard.
- Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.
- Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people.
- Exceed SCAQMD Thresholds

SCAQMD Thresholds

The significance criteria established by SCAQMD may be relied upon to make the above determinations. According to the SCAQMD, an air quality impact is considered significant if the Project would violate any ambient air quality standard, contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation, or expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations. The SCAQMD has established thresholds of significance for air quality during construction and operational activities of land use development projects, as shown in [Table 5: South Coast Air Quality Management District Emissions Thresholds](#).

Criteria Air Pollutants and Precursors	Construction-Related	Operational-Related
Reactive Organic Gases (ROG)	75	55
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	550	550
Nitrogen Oxides (NO _x)	100	55
Sulfur Oxides (SO _x)	150	150
Coarse Particulates (PM ₁₀)	150	150
Fine Particulates (PM _{2.5})	55	55

Source: South Coast Air Quality Management District, *South Coast AQMD Air Quality Significance Thresholds*, April 2019.

Localized Carbon Monoxide

In addition to the daily thresholds listed above, development associated with the Project would also be subject to the ambient air quality standards. These are addressed through an analysis of localized CO impacts. The significance of localized impacts depends on whether ambient CO levels near the Project site are above state and federal CO standards (the more stringent California standards are 20 ppm for 1-hour and 9 ppm for 8-hour). The SCAB has been designated as attainment under the 1-hour and 8-hour standards.

Localized Significance Thresholds

In addition to the CO hotspot analysis, the SCAQMD developed LSTs for emissions of NO₂, CO, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} generated at new development sites (off-site mobile source emissions are not included in the LST analysis). LSTs represent the maximum emissions that can be generated at a project without expecting to cause or substantially contribute to an exceedance of the most stringent state or federal ambient air quality standards. LSTs are based on the ambient concentrations of that pollutant within the Project source receptor area (SRA), as demarcated by the SCAQMD, and the distance to the nearest sensitive receptor. LST analysis for construction is applicable for all projects that disturb 5 acres or less on a single day. The Project site is located within SCAQMD SRA 28. Table 6: Local Significance Thresholds for Construction/Operations, shows the LSTs for a 1-acre, 2-acre, and 5-acre project in SRA 28. The SCAQMD's LST guidance notes that the 25-meter threshold applies to receptors 25 meters away or less. Because the nearest sensitive receptors are located between approximately 70 feet from the Project site, the threshold for 25 meters (82 feet) or less was used to analyze the localized impacts of the Project.

Project Size	Nitrogen Oxide (NO _x)	Carbon Monoxide (CO)	Coarse Particulates (PM ₁₀)	Fine Particulates (PM _{2.5})
1 Acre	162/162	750/750	4/1	3/1
2 Acres	234/234	1,100/1,100	7/2	4/1
5 Acres	371/371	1,965/1,965	13/4	8/2

Source: South Coast Air Quality Management District, *Localized Significance Threshold Methodology*, July 2008.

4.2 Methodology

This air quality impact analysis considers construction and operational impacts associated with the Project. Where criteria air pollutant quantification was required, emissions were modeled using the California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod). CalEEMod is a Statewide land use emissions computer model designed to quantify potential criteria pollutant emissions associated with both construction and operations from a variety of land use projects. Air quality impacts were assessed according to methodologies recommended by CARB and the SCAQMD.

Construction equipment, trucks, worker vehicles, and ground-disturbing activities associated with Project construction would generate emissions of criteria air pollutants and precursors. Daily regional construction emissions are estimated by assuming construction occurs at the earliest feasible date (i.e., a conservative estimate of construction activities) and applying off-road, fugitive dust, and on-road emissions factors in CalEEMod. For analysis purposes, construction is anticipated to commence construction in early 2022 and would begin operation by mid to late 2022. Construction is conservatively assumed to begin in early 2022, as delaying the start of construction would only likely reduce emissions as emission control technology will improve in the future.⁴

⁴ Emissions in future years (i.e., due to a later construction start date or operational opening year) would be lower due to phased-in emissions standards, inspection and maintenance requirements, and fleet turnover). Specifically, project construction was modeled to start in early 2022 but would commence at a later date. As such, construction impacts would be less than those analyzed due to the use of more energy-efficient and cleaner burning construction vehicle fleet mix, pursuant to state regulations that require vehicle fleet operators to phase-in less polluting heavy-duty equipment. As a result, Project-related construction air quality impacts would be lower than the impacts disclosed herein. For emissions modeling purposes, conservatively analyzing the emissions using an earlier construction start date (i.e., early 2022), provides for a worst-case analysis and full disclosure of potential air quality impacts, as required by CEQA.

Project operations would result in emissions of area sources (consumer products), energy sources (natural gas usage), and mobile sources (motor vehicles from Project generated vehicle trips). Project-generated increases in operational emissions would be predominantly associated with motor vehicle use. The increase of traffic over existing conditions as a result of the Project was obtained from the Project's Trip Generation and VMT Screening Memorandum prepared by Kimley-Horn (July 2021). Other operational emissions from area, energy, and stationary sources were quantified in CalEEMod based on land use activity data.

As discussed above, the SCAQMD provides significance thresholds for emissions associated with proposed Project construction and operations. The proposed Project's construction and operational emissions are compared to the daily criteria pollutant emissions significance thresholds in order to determine the significance of a Project's impact on regional air quality.

The localized effects from the Project's on-site emissions were evaluated in accordance with the SCAQMD's LST methodology, which uses on-site mass emissions rate look-up tables and Project-specific modeling. LSTs represent the maximum emissions from a project that are not expected to cause or contribute to an exceedance of the most stringent applicable federal or state ambient air quality standards and are developed based on the ambient concentrations of that pollutant for each source receptor area and distance to the nearest sensitive receptor.

5 POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION

5.1 Air Quality Analysis

Threshold 5.1 Would the Project conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?

As part of its enforcement responsibilities, the EPA requires each state with nonattainment areas to prepare and submit a State Implementation Plan that demonstrates the means to attain the federal standards. The State Implementation Plan must integrate federal, state, and local plan components and regulations to identify specific measures to reduce pollution in nonattainment areas, using a combination of performance standards and market-based programs. Similarly, under State law, the CCAA requires an air quality attainment plan to be prepared for areas designated as nonattainment regarding the state and federal ambient air quality standards. Air quality attainment plans outline emissions limits and control measures to achieve and maintain these standards by the earliest practical date.

The Project is located within the SCAB, which is under the jurisdiction of the SCAQMD. The SCAQMD is required, pursuant to the FCAA, to reduce emissions of criteria pollutants for which the SCAB is in nonattainment. To reduce such emissions, the SCAQMD drafted the 2016 AQMP. The 2016 AQMP establishes a program of rules and regulations directed at reducing air pollutant emissions and achieving state (California) and national air quality standards. The 2016 AQMP is a regional and multi-agency effort including the SCAQMD, the CARB, the SCAG, and the EPA. The plan's pollutant control strategies are based on the latest scientific and technical information and planning assumptions, including SCAG's growth projections and RTP/SCS, updated emission inventory methodologies for various source categories, and SCAG's latest growth forecasts. SCAG's latest growth forecasts were defined in consultation with local governments and with reference to local general plans. The Project is subject to the SCAQMD's AQMP.

Criteria for determining consistency with the AQMP are defined by the following indicators:

- **Consistency Criterion No. 1:** The Project will not result in an increase in the frequency or severity of existing air quality violations, or cause or contribute to new violations, or delay the timely attainment of air quality standards or the interim emissions reductions specified in the AQMP.
- **Consistency Criterion No. 2:** The Project will not exceed the assumptions in the AQMP or increments based on the years of the Project build-out phase.

According to the SCAQMD's *CEQA Air Quality Handbook*, the purpose of the consistency finding is to determine if a project is inconsistent with the assumptions and objectives of the regional air quality plans, and thus if it would interfere with the region's ability to comply with CAAQS and NAAQS.

The violations to which Consistency Criterion No. 1 refers are CAAQS and NAAQS. As shown in [Table 7](#), and in [Table 8](#), the Project would not exceed SCAQMD construction or operational emission standards. The SCAQMD developed their construction and operational regional and localized mass emissions thresholds to ensure that project emissions would be consistent with attainment of the NAAQS. Therefore, projects that do not exceed the SCAQMD's regional and localized thresholds would not contribute to existing air quality violations. As discussed below, the Project's construction and operational emissions would be below the SCAQMD's thresholds. Thus, the Project is consistent with the first criterion.

Concerning Consistency Criterion No. 2, the AQMP contains air pollutant reduction strategies based on SCAG's latest growth forecasts, and SCAG's growth forecasts were defined in consultation with local governments and with reference to local general plans. The proposed Project is consistent with the land use designation and development density presented in the Hemet General Plan and therefore would not exceed the population or job growth projections used by the SCAQMD to develop the AQMP. Thus, no impact would occur, as the Project is also consistent with the second criterion.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation is required.

Level of Significance: Less than significant impact.

Threshold 5.2 **Would the Project result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the Project region is non-attainment under an applicable state or federal ambient air quality standard?**

Construction Emissions

Construction associated with the Project would generate short-term emissions of criteria air pollutants. The criteria pollutants of primary concern within the Project area include O₃ precursor pollutants (i.e. ROG and NO_x) and PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}. Construction-generated emissions are short term and of temporary duration, lasting only as long as construction activities occur, but would be considered a significant air quality impact if the volume of pollutants generated exceeds the SCAQMD's thresholds of significance.

Construction results in the temporary generation of emissions resulting from site grading, road paving, motor vehicle exhaust associated with construction equipment and worker trips, and the movement of construction equipment, especially on unpaved surfaces. Emissions of airborne particulate matter are largely dependent on the amount of ground disturbance associated with site preparation activities as well as weather conditions and the appropriate application of water.

Construction-generated emissions associated the Project were calculated using the CARB-approved CalEEMod computer program, which is designed to model emissions for land use development projects, based on typical construction requirements. See [Appendix A: Air Quality Modeling Data](#) for more information regarding the construction assumptions used in this analysis. Predicted maximum daily construction-generated emissions for the Project are summarized in in [Table 7: Construction-Related Emissions](#).

Fugitive dust emissions may have a substantial, temporary impact on local air quality. In addition, fugitive dust may be a nuisance to those living and working in the Project vicinity. Uncontrolled dust from construction can become a nuisance and potential health hazard to those living and working nearby. SCAQMD Rules 402 and 403 (prohibition of nuisances, watering of inactive and perimeter areas, track out requirements, etc.), are applicable to the Project and were applied in CalEEMod to minimize fugitive dust emissions. Standard Condition (SC) AQ-1 requires the implementation of Rule 402 and 403 dust control techniques to minimize PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} concentrations. While impacts would be considered less than significant, the Project would be subject to SCAQMD Rules for reducing fugitive dust, described in the Regulatory Framework subsection above and identified in Standard Conditions SC AQ-1.

Construction Year	Reactive Organic Gases (ROG)	Nitrogen Oxide (NO _x)	Carbon Monoxide (CO)	Sulfur Dioxide (SO ₂)	Fine Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)	Coarse Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5})
Year 1 (2022)	10.74	48.26	39.94	0.10	9.09	5.28
SCAQMD Threshold	75	100	550	150	150	55
Exceed SCAQMD Threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No
Notes: SCAQMD Rule 403 Fugitive Dust applied. The Rule 403 reduction/credits include the following: properly maintain mobile and other construction equipment; water exposed surfaces three times daily; and limit speeds on unpaved roads to 15 miles per hour. Reductions percentages from the SCAQMD CEQA Handbook (Tables XI-A through XI-E) were applied. No mitigation was applied to construction equipment. Refer to Appendix A for Model Data Outputs.						
Source: CalEEMod version 2020.4.0. Refer to Appendix A for model outputs.						

Operational Emissions

Project-generated emissions would be primarily associated with motor vehicle use and area sources, such as the use of landscape maintenance equipment and architectural coatings. Long-term operational emissions attributable to the Project are summarized in [Table 8: Unmitigated Operational Emissions](#). As shown in [Table 8](#), the Project emissions would not exceed SCAQMD thresholds.

Source	Reactive Organic Gases (ROG)	Nitrogen Oxide (NO _x)	Carbon Monoxide (CO)	Sulfur Dioxide (SO ₂)	Fine Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)	Coarse Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5})
Area Source Emissions	0.74	<0.01	0.04	0.00	<0.01	<0.01
Energy Emissions	<0.01	0.01	0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Mobile Emissions	0.16	2.21	1.45	0.01	0.63	0.20
Off-road Emissions	1.22	10.39	10.33	0.02	0.57	0.52
Total Emissions	2.13	12.62	11.83	0.04	1.22	0.74
SCAQMD Threshold	55	55	550	150	150	55
Exceeds Threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No
Source: CalEEMod version 2020.4.0. Refer to Appendix A for model outputs.						

As noted above, the Project's operational emissions would be associated with area sources, energy sources, and mobile sources (i.e., motor vehicle use). Each of these sources are described below.

- **Area Source Emissions.** Area source emissions would be generated due to on-site equipment, architectural coating, and landscaping that were previously not present on the site.
- **Energy Source Emissions.** Energy source emissions would be generated due to electricity and natural gas usage associated with the Project. Primary uses of electricity and natural gas by the Project would be for space heating and cooling, water heating, ventilation, lighting, appliances, and electronics.
- **Mobile Source.** Mobile sources are emissions from motor vehicles, including tailpipe and evaporative emissions. Depending upon the pollutant being discussed, the potential air quality impact may be of either regional or local concern. For example, ROG, NO_x, PM₁₀, and

PM_{2.5} are all pollutants of regional concern. NO_x and ROG react with sunlight to form O₃, known as photochemical smog. Additionally, wind currents readily transport PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}. However, CO tends to be a localized pollutant, dispersing rapidly at the source.

Project-generated vehicle emissions are based on the trip generation within the Project Traffic Impact Analysis and incorporated into CalEEMod as recommended by the SCAQMD. Per the Project Traffic Impact Analysis, the Project would generate 487 daily trips (20.3 percent trucks).

- **Off-Road Equipment Emissions.** Because the Project is a speculative warehouse development and the final end user is not known, to be conservative it was assumed that the Project would operate six forklifts and one yard truck for eight hours per day.

Table 8 shows that net Project emissions would not exceed SCAQMD thresholds for any criteria air pollutants. Therefore, long-term operations emissions would result in a less than significant impact.

Cumulative Short-Term Emissions

The SCAB is designated nonattainment for O₃, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} for State standards and nonattainment for O₃ and PM_{2.5} for Federal standards. Appendix D of the SCAQMD White Paper on Potential Control Strategies to Address Cumulative Impacts from Air Pollution (2003) notes that projects that result in emissions that do not exceed the project-specific SCAQMD regional thresholds of significance should result in a less than significant impact on a cumulative basis unless there is other pertinent information to the contrary. Therefore, if a project is estimated to result in emissions that do not exceed the thresholds, the project's contribution to the cumulative impact on air quality in the SCAB would not be cumulatively considerable. As shown in Table 7 above, Project construction-related emissions by themselves would not exceed the SCAQMD significance thresholds for criteria pollutants. Therefore, the proposed Project would not generate a cumulatively considerable contribution to air pollutant emissions during construction.

The SCAQMD has developed strategies to reduce criteria pollutant emissions outlined in the AQMP pursuant to the FCAA mandates. The analysis assumed fugitive dust controls (SC AQ-1) would be utilized during construction, including frequent water applications. SCAQMD rules, mandates, and compliance with adopted AQMP emissions control measures would also be imposed on construction projects throughout the SCAB, which would include related projects. Compliance with SCAQMD rules and regulations would further reduce the Project construction-related impacts. Therefore, Project-related construction emissions, combined with those from other projects in the area, would not substantially deteriorate local air quality. Construction emissions associated with the Project would not result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to significant cumulative air quality impacts.

Cumulative Long-Term Impacts

The SCAQMD has not established separate significance thresholds for cumulative operational emissions. The nature of air emissions is largely a cumulative impact. As a result, no single project is sufficient in size to, by itself, result in nonattainment of ambient air quality standards. Instead, individual project emissions contribute to existing cumulatively significant adverse air quality impacts. The SCAQMD developed the operational thresholds of significance based on the level above which individual project emissions would result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to the SCAB's existing air quality conditions. Therefore,

a project that exceeds the SCAQMD operational thresholds would also be a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant cumulative impact.

As shown in [Table 8](#), the Project operational emissions would not exceed the SCAQMD thresholds. Therefore, operation emissions associated with the Project would not result in a cumulatively considerable contribution to significant cumulative air quality impacts.

Standard Conditions and Requirements:

SC AQ-1 Prior to the issuance of grading permits, the City Engineer shall confirm that the Grading Plan, Building Plans and Specifications require all construction contractors to comply with South Coast Air Quality Management District's (SCAQMD's) Rules 402 and 403 to minimize construction emissions of dust and particulates. The measures include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Portions of a construction site to remain inactive longer than a period of three months will be seeded and watered until grass cover is grown or otherwise stabilized.
- All on-site roads will be paved as soon as feasible or watered periodically or chemically stabilized.
- All material transported off site will be either sufficiently watered or securely covered to prevent excessive amounts of dust.
- The area disturbed by clearing, grading, earthmoving, or excavation operations will be minimized at all times.
- Where vehicles leave a construction site and enter adjacent public streets, the streets will be swept daily or washed down at the end of the work day to remove soil tracked onto the paved surface.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation is required.

Level of Significance: Less than significant impact.

Threshold 5.3 Would the Project expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?

Localized Construction Significance Analysis

To identify impacts to sensitive receptors, the SCAQMD recommends addressing LSTs for construction. LSTs were developed in response to SCAQMD Governing Boards' Environmental Justice Enhancement Initiative (I-4). The SCAQMD provided the *Final Localized Significance Threshold Methodology* (dated June 2003 [revised 2008]) for guidance. The LST methodology assists lead agencies in analyzing localized impacts associated with Project-specific emissions.

Since CalEEMod calculates construction emissions based on the number of equipment hours and the maximum daily soil disturbance activity possible for each piece of equipment, [Table 9: Equipment-Specific Grading Rates](#), is used to determine the maximum daily disturbed acreage for comparison to LSTs. The appropriate SRA for the localized significance thresholds is the Hemet/San Jacinto Valley area (SRA 28) since this area includes the Project. LSTs apply to CO, NO_x, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5}. The SCAQMD produced look-up tables for projects that disturb areas less than or equal to 5 acres in size. Project construction is anticipated to disturb a maximum of 4 acre per day. As the LST guidance provides thresholds for projects

disturbing 1-, 2-, and 5-acres in size and the thresholds increase with size of the site, the LSTs for a 4-acre disturbance threshold were interpolated and utilized for this analysis.

Construction Phase	Equipment Type	Equipment Quantity	Acres Graded per 8-Hour Day	Operating Hours per Day	Acres Graded per Day
Grading	Tractors	2	0.5	8	1
	Graders	1	0.5	8	0.5
	Dozers	1	0.5	8	0.5
	Scrapers	2	1	8	2
Total Acres Graded per Day					4

Source: CalEEMod version 2020.4.0. Refer to Appendix A for model outputs.

The SCAQMD's methodology states that "off-site mobile emissions from the Project should not be included in the emissions compared to LSTs." Therefore, only emissions included in the CalEEMod "on-site" emissions outputs were considered. The nearest sensitive receptors are the residences located 70 feet (21.34 meters) west of the Project. LST thresholds are provided for distances to sensitive receptors of 25, 50, 100, 200, and 500 meters. The SCAQMD recommends that the 25-meter LSTs should be used for receptors located 25 meters away or less. Therefore, LSTs for receptors located at 25 meters or less were utilized in this analysis. [Table 10: Localized Significance of Construction Emissions](#), presents the results of localized emissions during each construction phase. [Table 10](#) shows that emissions of these pollutants on the peak day of construction would not result in significant concentrations of pollutants at nearby sensitive receptors. Significant impacts would not occur concerning LSTs during construction.

Construction Activity	Nitrogen Oxide (NO _x)	Carbon Monoxide (CO)	Coarse Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)	Fine Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5})
Site Preparation	33.08	19.70	8.90	5.23
Grading	38.84	29.04	5.07	2.86
Building Construction	15.62	16.36	0.81	0.76
Paving	11.12	14.58	0.57	0.52
Architectural Coating	1.41	1.81	0.08	0.08
<i>SCAQMD Localized Screening Threshold (adjusted for 4 acre at 25 meters)</i>	325	1,677	11	7
Exceed SCAQMD Threshold?	No	No	No	No

Source: CalEEMod version 2020.4.0. Refer to Appendix A for model outputs.

Localized Operational Significance Analysis

According to the SCAQMD LST methodology, LSTs would apply to the operational phase of a project only if it includes stationary sources or attracts mobile sources that may spend long periods queuing and idling at the site (e.g. warehouse or transfer facilities). Since the Project is a warehouse, the operational phase LST protocol is conservatively applied to both the area source and 10 percent of the mobile source emissions. This portion of the mobile sources conservatively represents the onsite idling from trucks. As the nearest receptors are located approximately 70 feet (21.34 meters) from the Project site, the stricter LSTs for 25 meters in SRA 28 were utilized in this analysis. Although the Project is approximately 10.08

acres, the 5-acre LST threshold was conservatively used for the Project, as the LSTs increase with the size of the site.

As noted above, the LST analysis only includes on-site sources. However, the CalEEMod model outputs do not separate on- and off-site emissions for mobile sources. Emissions shown in [Table 11: Localized Significance of Operational Emissions](#), conservatively include all on-site Project-related area sources, off-road equipment emissions, and 10 percent of the total Project-related new mobile sources since a portion of mobile sources would include vehicles maneuvering and idling on-site. [Table 11](#) shows that the maximum daily emissions of these pollutants during operations would not result in significant concentrations of pollutants at nearby sensitive receptors. Therefore, significant impacts would not occur concerning LSTs during operational activities.

Activity	Nitrogen Oxide (NO _x)	Carbon Monoxide (CO)	Coarse Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)	Fine Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5})
On-Site Area Source and off-road equipment	10.41	10.38	0.59	0.54
10% of Mobile Source Emissions	0.221	0.145	0.063	0.02
Total Emissions	10.63	10.53	0.65	0.56
SCAQMD Localized Screening Threshold (5 acres at 25 meters)	371	1,965	4	2
Exceed SCAQMD Threshold?	No	No	No	No

Source: CalEEMod version 2020.4.0. Refer to Appendix A for model outputs.

Criteria Pollutant Health Impacts

On December 24, 2018, the California Supreme Court issued an opinion identifying the need to provide sufficient information connecting a project's air emissions to health impacts or explain why such information could not be ascertained (*Sierra Club v. County of Fresno* [Friant Ranch, L.P.] [2018] Cal.5th, Case No. S219783). The SCAQMD has set its CEQA significance thresholds based on the FCAA, which defines a major stationary source (in extreme ozone nonattainment areas such as the South Coast Air Basin) as emitting 10 tons per year. The thresholds correlate with the trigger levels for the federal New Source Review (NSR) Program and SCAQMD Rule 1303 for new or modified sources. The NSR Program⁵ was created by the FCAA to ensure that stationary sources of air pollution are constructed or modified in a manner that is consistent with attainment of health-based federal ambient air quality standards. The federal ambient air quality standards establish the levels of air quality necessary, with an adequate margin of safety, to protect the public health. Therefore, projects that do not exceed the SCAQMD's LSTs and mass emissions thresholds would not violate any air quality standards or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation and no criteria pollutant health impacts.

As previously discussed, Project emissions would be less than significant and would not exceed SCAQMD thresholds (refer to [Table 7](#) and [Table 8](#)). Localized effects of on-site project emissions on nearby receptors were also found to be less than significant (refer to [Table 10](#) and [Table 11](#)). The LSTs represent the maximum emissions from a project that are not expected to cause or contribute to an exceedance of the most stringent applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard. The LSTs were developed by the SCAQMD based on the ambient concentrations of that pollutant for each source receptor area and

⁵ Code of Federal Regulation (CFR) [i.e., PSD (40 CFR 52.21, 40 CFR 51.166, 40 CFR 51.165 (b)), Non-attainment NSR (40 CFR 52.24, 40 CFR 51.165, 40 CFR part 51, Appendix S)]

distance to the nearest sensitive receptor. The ambient air quality standards establish the levels of air quality necessary, with an adequate margin of safety, to protect public health, including protecting the health of sensitive populations such as asthmatics, children, and the elderly. As shown above, project-related emissions would not exceed the regional thresholds or the LSTs, and therefore would not exceed the ambient air quality standards or cause an increase in the frequency or severity of existing violations of air quality standards. Therefore, sensitive receptors would not be exposed to criteria pollutant levels in excess of the health-based ambient air quality standards.

Carbon Monoxide Hotspots

An analysis of CO “hot spots” is needed to determine whether the change in the level of service of an intersection resulting from the Project would have the potential to result in exceedances of the CAAQS or NAAQS. It has long been recognized that CO exceedances are caused by vehicular emissions, primarily when vehicles are idling at intersections. Vehicle emissions standards have become increasingly stringent in the last 20 years. Currently, the CO standard in California is a maximum of 3.4 grams per mile for passenger cars (requirements for certain vehicles are more stringent). With the turnover of older vehicles, introduction of cleaner fuels, and implementation of control technology on industrial facilities, CO concentrations have steadily declined. Accordingly, with the steadily decreasing CO emissions from vehicles, even very busy intersections do not result in exceedances of the CO standard.

The South Coast Air Basin (SCAB) was re-designated as attainment in 2007 and is no longer addressed in the SCAQMD’s AQMP. The 2003 AQMP is the most recent version that addresses CO concentrations. As part of the SCAQMD *CO Hotspot Analysis*, the Wilshire Boulevard/Veteran Avenue intersection, one of the most congested intersections in Southern California with an average daily traffic (ADT) volume of approximately 100,000 vehicles per day, was modeled for CO concentrations. This modeling effort identified a CO concentration high of 4.6 ppm, which is well below the 35-ppm Federal standard. The Project considered herein would not produce the volume of traffic required to generate a CO hot spot in the context of SCAQMD’s *CO Hotspot Analysis*. As the CO hotspots were not experienced at the Wilshire Boulevard/Veteran Avenue intersection even as it accommodates 100,000 vehicles daily, it can be reasonably inferred that CO hotspots would not be experienced at any vicinity intersections resulting from 44 vehicle trips attributable to the Project. Therefore, impacts would be less than significant.

Construction-Related Diesel Particulate Matter

Construction would result in the generation of DPM emissions from the use of off-road diesel equipment required. The amount to which the receptors are exposed (a function of concentration and duration of exposure) is the primary factor used to determine health risk (i.e. potential exposure to TAC emission levels that exceed applicable standards). Health-related risks associated with diesel-exhaust emissions are primarily linked to long-term exposure and the associated risk of contracting cancer.

The use of diesel-powered construction equipment would be temporary and episodic. The duration of exposure would be short and exhaust from construction equipment dissipates rapidly. Current models and methodologies for conducting health risk assessments are associated with longer-term exposure periods of 9, 30, and 70 years, which do not correlate well with the temporary and highly variable nature of construction activities. The closest sensitive receptors are located approximately 100 feet to the west.

Construction is temporary and would be transient throughout the site (i.e. move from location to location) and would not generate emissions in a fixed location for extended periods of time. Project construction

involves phased activities in several areas across the site and the Project would not require the extensive use of heavy-duty construction equipment or diesel trucks in any one location over the duration of development, which would limit the exposure of any proximate individual sensitive receptor to TACs.

Construction would be subject to and would comply with California regulations limiting the idling of heavy-duty construction equipment to no more than 5 minutes to further reduce nearby sensitive receptors' exposure to temporary and variable DPM emissions. Given the temporary and intermittent nature of construction activities likely to occur within specific locations in the Project site (i.e., construction is not likely to occur in any one location for an extended time), the dose of DPM of any one receptor is exposed to would be limited. Therefore, considering the relatively short duration of DPM-emitting construction activity at any one location and the highly dispersive properties of DPM, emissions generated by construction activities, in and of itself, would not be expected to expose sensitive receptors to substantial amounts of air toxics and the Project would have a less than significant impact.

Operational Diesel Particulate Matter

The Project proposes a 22,000 sq. ft. warehouse building that would generate approximately 12 truck trips per day. The SCAQMD recommends health risk assessments for projects that would have 100 or more trucks per day. Additionally, project operations would not include stationary sources that would generate a substantial amount of TACs. Therefore, the Project would not represent a new source of DPM or any other TAC. No operational impacts from DPM or TACs would occur.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation is required.

Level of Significance: Less than significant impact.

Threshold 5.4 Would the Project result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people?

The SCAQMD *CEQA Air Quality Handbook* identifies certain land uses as sources of odors. These land uses include agriculture (farming and livestock), wastewater treatment plants, food processing plants, chemical plants, composting facilities, refineries, landfills, dairies, and fiberglass molding. The Project would not include any of the land uses that have been identified by the SCAQMD as odor sources.

During construction-related activities, some odors (not substantial pollutant concentrations) that may be detected are those typical of construction vehicles (e.g. diesel exhaust from grading and construction equipment). These odors are a temporary short-term impact that is typical of construction projects and would disperse rapidly. The Project would not include any of the land uses that have been identified by the SCAQMD as odor sources. Therefore, the Project would not create objectionable odors.

Mitigation Measures: No mitigation is required.

Level of Significance: No impact.

6 REFERENCES

1. California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA), *Health Effects*, 2018.
2. California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA), *Health Risk Assessments for Proposed Land Use Projects*, 2009.
3. California Air Resources Board, *Aerometric Data Analysis and Measurement System (ADAM) Top Four Summaries from 2015 to 2017*, 2019.
4. California Air Resources Board, *Air Quality and Land Use Handbook: A Community Health Perspective*, 2005.
5. California Air Resources Board, *Current Air Quality Standards*, 2016.
6. California Air Resources Board, *Risk Reduction Plan to Reduce Particulate Matter Emissions from Diesel-Fueled Engines and Vehicles*, 2000.
7. County of Riverside, *General Plan*, 2015.
8. City of Hemet, *General Plan*, 2030.
9. Federal Highway Administration, *Interim Guidance on Mobile Source Air Toxic Analysis in NEPA Documents*, 2016.
10. Kimley-Horn, *Trip Generation and VMT Screening Memorandum for the Proposed Foxgate Warehouse Project in the City of Hemet*, July 2021.
11. Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, *Air Toxics Hot Spots Program Risk Assessment Guidelines*, 2015.
12. South Coast Air Quality Management District, *2016 Air Quality Management Plan*, March 2017.
13. South Coast Air Quality Management District, *CEQA Air Quality Handbook*, 1993.
14. South Coast Air Quality Management District, *Localized Significance Threshold Methodology*, 2009.
15. South Coast Air Quality Management District, *The Multiple Air Toxics Exposure Study IV*, 2015.
16. United States Environmental Protection Agency, *National Ambient Air Quality Standards Table*, 2016.
17. United States Environmental Protection Agency, *Nonattainment Areas for Criteria Pollutants*, 2019.
18. United States Environmental Protection Agency, *Policy Assessment for the Review of the Lead National Ambient Air Quality Standards*, 2013.

Appendix A

Air Quality Modeling Data

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

**Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod
Riverside-South Coast County, Summer**

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
General Office Building	3.00	1000sqft	0.07	3,000.00	0
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	22.00	1000sqft	0.51	22,000.00	0
Other Asphalt Surfaces	414.05	1000sqft	9.51	414,048.00	0

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	2.4	Precipitation Freq (Days)	28
Climate Zone	10			Operational Year	2022
Utility Company	Southern California Edison				
CO2 Intensity (lb/MWhr)	390.98	CH4 Intensity (lb/MWhr)	0.033	N2O Intensity (lb/MWhr)	0.004

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

- Project Characteristics -
- Land Use - Per Site Plan (5.18.2021)
- Construction Phase - anticipated construction schedule
- Off-road Equipment - No demolition
- Grading -
- Vehicle Trips - Per Traffic study
- Operational Off-Road Equipment - Off-road equipment
- Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - SCAQMD Rule Compliance
- Fleet Mix - Fleet mix adjustment
- Water Mitigation -

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblConstDustMitigation	CleanPavedRoadPercentReduction	0	6
tblConstDustMitigation	WaterUnpavedRoadMoistureContent	0	12
tblConstDustMitigation	WaterUnpavedRoadVehicleSpeed	0	15
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	20.00	0.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	30.00	25.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	300.00	120.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	20.00	45.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	20.00	40.00
tblFleetMix	HHD	0.02	0.50
tblFleetMix	LDA	0.53	0.00
tblFleetMix	LDT1	0.06	0.00
tblFleetMix	LDT2	0.17	0.00
tblFleetMix	LHD1	0.03	0.00
tblFleetMix	LHD2	7.4220e-003	0.25
tblFleetMix	MCY	0.02	0.00
tblFleetMix	MDV	0.14	0.00
tblFleetMix	MH	5.7590e-003	0.00
tblFleetMix	MHD	0.01	0.25
tblFleetMix	OBUS	6.3000e-004	0.00
tblFleetMix	SBUS	1.1020e-003	0.00
tblFleetMix	UBUS	3.2100e-004	0.00
tblGrading	MaterialExported	0.00	13,902.00
tblLandUse	LandUseSquareFeet	414,050.00	414,048.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	3.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	2.00	0.00
tblOperationalOffRoadEquipment	OperLoadFactor	0.38	0.38

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

tblOperationalOffRoadEquipment	OperLoadFactor	0.20	0.20
tblOperationalOffRoadEquipment	OperOffRoadEquipmentNumber	0.00	1.00
tblOperationalOffRoadEquipment	OperOffRoadEquipmentNumber	0.00	6.00
tblVehicleTrips	CNW_TL	6.90	40.00
tblVehicleTrips	CNW_TTP	41.00	100.00
tblVehicleTrips	CW_TTP	59.00	0.00
tblVehicleTrips	DV_TP	5.00	0.00
tblVehicleTrips	PB_TP	3.00	0.00
tblVehicleTrips	PR_TP	92.00	100.00
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	2.21	10.67
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	1.74	0.48
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	0.70	10.67
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	1.74	0.48
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	9.74	10.67
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	1.74	0.48

2.0 Emissions Summary

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Area	0.7409	4.1000e-004	0.0449	0.0000		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		0.0961	0.0961	2.5000e-004		0.1024
Energy	1.6100e-003	0.0146	0.0123	9.0000e-005		1.1100e-003	1.1100e-003		1.1100e-003	1.1100e-003		17.5697	17.5697	3.4000e-004	3.2000e-004	17.6741
Mobile	0.1613	2.0964	1.4532	0.0118	0.5986	0.0313	0.6300	0.1663	0.0300	0.1962		1,236.7034	1,236.7034	0.0218	0.1548	1,283.3775
Offroad	1.2161	10.3948	10.3326	0.0225		0.5681	0.5681		0.5226	0.5226	0.0000	2,178.0061	2,178.0061	0.7044		2,195.6164
Total	2.1198	12.5063	11.8430	0.0343	0.5986	0.6007	1.1993	0.1663	0.5538	0.7201	0.0000	3,432.3754	3,432.3754	0.7268	0.1551	3,496.7704

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

2.2 Overall Operational

Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Area	0.7409	4.1000e-004	0.0449	0.0000		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		0.0961	0.0961	2.5000e-004		0.1024
Energy	1.6100e-003	0.0146	0.0123	9.0000e-005		1.1100e-003	1.1100e-003		1.1100e-003	1.1100e-003		17.5697	17.5697	3.4000e-004	3.2000e-004	17.6741
Mobile	0.1613	2.0964	1.4532	0.0118	0.5986	0.0313	0.6300	0.1663	0.0300	0.1962		1,236.7034	1,236.7034	0.0218	0.1548	1,283.3775
Offroad	1.2161	10.3948	10.3326	0.0225		0.5681	0.5681		0.5226	0.5226	0.0000	2,178.0061	2,178.0061	0.7044		2,195.6164
Total	2.1198	12.5063	11.8430	0.0343	0.5986	0.6007	1.1993	0.1663	0.5538	0.7201	0.0000	3,432.3754	3,432.3754	0.7268	0.1551	3,496.7704

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Demolition	Demolition	2/1/2022	1/31/2022	5	0	
2	Site Preparation	Site Preparation	2/1/2022	2/14/2022	5	10	
3	Grading	Grading	2/15/2022	3/21/2022	5	25	
4	Building Construction	Building Construction	3/22/2022	9/5/2022	5	120	

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

5	Paving	Paving	9/1/2022	11/2/2022	5	45
6	Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	10/1/2022	11/25/2022	5	40

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 15

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 75

Acres of Paving: 9.51

Residential Indoor: 0; Residential Outdoor: 0; Non-Residential Indoor: 37,500; Non-Residential Outdoor: 12,500; Striped Parking Area: 24,843 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	0	8.00	81	0.73
Demolition	Excavators	0	8.00	158	0.38
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	0	8.00	247	0.40
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	3	8.00	247	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	4	8.00	97	0.37
Grading	Excavators	2	8.00	158	0.38
Grading	Graders	1	8.00	187	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8.00	247	0.40
Grading	Scrapers	2	8.00	367	0.48
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	2	8.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Cranes	1	7.00	231	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	3	8.00	89	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	1	8.00	84	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	7.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	1	8.00	46	0.45
Paving	Pavers	2	8.00	130	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	2	8.00	132	0.36
Paving	Rollers	2	8.00	80	0.38

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	1	6.00	78	0.48
-----------------------	-----------------	---	------	----	------

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Count	Worker Trip Number	Vendor Trip Number	Hauling Trip Number	Worker Trip Length	Vendor Trip Length	Hauling Trip Length	Worker Vehicle Class	Vendor Vehicle Class	Hauling Vehicle Class
Demolition	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Site Preparation	7	18.00	0.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Grading	8	20.00	0.00	1,738.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Building Construction	9	184.00	72.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Paving	6	15.00	0.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Architectural Coating	1	37.00	0.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

Replace Ground Cover

Water Exposed Area

Water Unpaved Roads

Reduce Vehicle Speed on Unpaved Roads

Clean Paved Roads

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.3 Site Preparation - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Fugitive Dust					19.6570	0.0000	19.6570	10.1025	0.0000	10.1025			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.1701	33.0835	19.6978	0.0380		1.6126	1.6126		1.4836	1.4836		3,686.0619	3,686.0619	1.1922		3,715.8655
Total	3.1701	33.0835	19.6978	0.0380	19.6570	1.6126	21.2696	10.1025	1.4836	11.5860		3,686.0619	3,686.0619	1.1922		3,715.8655

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.0709	0.0460	0.7176	1.8300e-003	0.2012	1.0000e-003	0.2022	0.0534	9.2000e-004	0.0543		186.0370	186.0370	4.6100e-003	4.5800e-003	187.5158
Total	0.0709	0.0460	0.7176	1.8300e-003	0.2012	1.0000e-003	0.2022	0.0534	9.2000e-004	0.0543		186.0370	186.0370	4.6100e-003	4.5800e-003	187.5158

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.3 Site Preparation - 2022

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Fugitive Dust					7.2829	0.0000	7.2829	3.7430	0.0000	3.7430			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.1701	33.0835	19.6978	0.0380		1.6126	1.6126		1.4836	1.4836	0.0000	3,686.0619	3,686.0619	1.1922		3,715.8655
Total	3.1701	33.0835	19.6978	0.0380	7.2829	1.6126	8.8955	3.7430	1.4836	5.2265	0.0000	3,686.0619	3,686.0619	1.1922		3,715.8655

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.0709	0.0460	0.7176	1.8300e-003	0.1907	1.0000e-003	0.1917	0.0508	9.2000e-004	0.0517		186.0370	186.0370	4.6100e-003	4.5800e-003	187.5158
Total	0.0709	0.0460	0.7176	1.8300e-003	0.1907	1.0000e-003	0.1917	0.0508	9.2000e-004	0.0517		186.0370	186.0370	4.6100e-003	4.5800e-003	187.5158

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.4 Grading - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Fugitive Dust					9.2740	0.0000	9.2740	3.6644	0.0000	3.6644			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.6248	38.8435	29.0415	0.0621		1.6349	1.6349		1.5041	1.5041		6,011.4105	6,011.4105	1.9442		6,060.0158
Total	3.6248	38.8435	29.0415	0.0621	9.2740	1.6349	10.9089	3.6644	1.5041	5.1685		6,011.4105	6,011.4105	1.9442		6,060.0158

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Hauling	0.2198	8.8787	1.9744	0.0400	1.2168	0.1032	1.3200	0.3336	0.0987	0.4323		4,264.0227	4,264.0227	0.0577	0.6717	4,465.6316
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.0788	0.0511	0.7973	2.0300e-003	0.2236	1.1100e-003	0.2247	0.0593	1.0300e-003	0.0603		206.7078	206.7078	5.1200e-003	5.0800e-003	208.3509
Total	0.2987	8.9298	2.7717	0.0420	1.4403	0.1043	1.5447	0.3929	0.0998	0.4926		4,470.7305	4,470.7305	0.0628	0.6768	4,673.9824

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.4 Grading - 2022

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Fugitive Dust					3.4360	0.0000	3.4360	1.3577	0.0000	1.3577			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.6248	38.8435	29.0415	0.0621		1.6349	1.6349		1.5041	1.5041	0.0000	6,011.4105	6,011.4105	1.9442		6,060.0158
Total	3.6248	38.8435	29.0415	0.0621	3.4360	1.6349	5.0709	1.3577	1.5041	2.8618	0.0000	6,011.4105	6,011.4105	1.9442		6,060.0158

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Hauling	0.2198	8.8787	1.9744	0.0400	1.1616	0.1032	1.2648	0.3201	0.0987	0.4188		4,264.0227	4,264.0227	0.0577	0.6717	4,465.6316
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.0788	0.0511	0.7973	2.0300e-003	0.2119	1.1100e-003	0.2130	0.0564	1.0300e-003	0.0575		206.7078	206.7078	5.1200e-003	5.0800e-003	208.3509
Total	0.2987	8.9298	2.7717	0.0420	1.3735	0.1043	1.4778	0.3765	0.0998	0.4762		4,470.7305	4,470.7305	0.0628	0.6768	4,673.9824

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Off-Road	1.7062	15.6156	16.3634	0.0269		0.8090	0.8090		0.7612	0.7612		2,554.3336	2,554.3336	0.6120		2,569.6322
Total	1.7062	15.6156	16.3634	0.0269		0.8090	0.8090		0.7612	0.7612		2,554.3336	2,554.3336	0.6120		2,569.6322

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.1172	3.0446	1.0586	0.0131	0.4612	0.0439	0.5051	0.1328	0.0420	0.1748		1,389.2064	1,389.2064	0.0147	0.2060	1,450.9659
Worker	0.7251	0.4699	7.3353	0.0187	2.0567	0.0103	2.0669	0.5454	9.4400e-003	0.5549		1,901.7120	1,901.7120	0.0471	0.0468	1,916.8280
Total	0.8423	3.5145	8.3939	0.0318	2.5179	0.0542	2.5720	0.6782	0.0514	0.7297		3,290.9184	3,290.9184	0.0618	0.2528	3,367.7939

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Off-Road	1.7062	15.6156	16.3634	0.0269		0.8090	0.8090		0.7612	0.7612	0.0000	2,554.3336	2,554.3336	0.6120		2,569.6322
Total	1.7062	15.6156	16.3634	0.0269		0.8090	0.8090		0.7612	0.7612	0.0000	2,554.3336	2,554.3336	0.6120		2,569.6322

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.1172	3.0446	1.0586	0.0131	0.4415	0.0439	0.4854	0.1280	0.0420	0.1699		1,389.2064	1,389.2064	0.0147	0.2060	1,450.9659
Worker	0.7251	0.4699	7.3353	0.0187	1.9494	0.0103	1.9597	0.5191	9.4400e-003	0.5286		1,901.7120	1,901.7120	0.0471	0.0468	1,916.8280
Total	0.8423	3.5145	8.3939	0.0318	2.3909	0.0542	2.4450	0.6471	0.0514	0.6985		3,290.9184	3,290.9184	0.0618	0.2528	3,367.7939

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.6 Paving - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Off-Road	1.1028	11.1249	14.5805	0.0228		0.5679	0.5679		0.5225	0.5225		2,207.6603	2,207.6603	0.7140		2,225.5104
Paving	0.5537					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Total	1.6565	11.1249	14.5805	0.0228		0.5679	0.5679		0.5225	0.5225		2,207.6603	2,207.6603	0.7140		2,225.5104

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.0591	0.0383	0.5980	1.5200e-003	0.1677	8.4000e-004	0.1685	0.0445	7.7000e-004	0.0452		155.0309	155.0309	3.8400e-003	3.8100e-003	156.2632
Total	0.0591	0.0383	0.5980	1.5200e-003	0.1677	8.4000e-004	0.1685	0.0445	7.7000e-004	0.0452		155.0309	155.0309	3.8400e-003	3.8100e-003	156.2632

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.6 Paving - 2022

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Off-Road	1.1028	11.1249	14.5805	0.0228		0.5679	0.5679		0.5225	0.5225	0.0000	2,207.660 3	2,207.660 3	0.7140		2,225.510 4
Paving	0.5537					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Total	1.6565	11.1249	14.5805	0.0228		0.5679	0.5679		0.5225	0.5225	0.0000	2,207.660 3	2,207.660 3	0.7140		2,225.510 4

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.0591	0.0383	0.5980	1.5200e-003	0.1589	8.4000e-004	0.1598	0.0423	7.7000e-004	0.0431		155.0309	155.0309	3.8400e-003	3.8100e-003	156.2632
Total	0.0591	0.0383	0.5980	1.5200e-003	0.1589	8.4000e-004	0.1598	0.0423	7.7000e-004	0.0431		155.0309	155.0309	3.8400e-003	3.8100e-003	156.2632

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Archit. Coating	8.6724					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.2045	1.4085	1.8136	2.9700e-003		0.0817	0.0817		0.0817	0.0817		281.4481	281.4481	0.0183		281.9062
Total	8.8770	1.4085	1.8136	2.9700e-003		0.0817	0.0817		0.0817	0.0817		281.4481	281.4481	0.0183		281.9062

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.1458	0.0945	1.4750	3.7600e-003	0.4136	2.0600e-003	0.4156	0.1097	1.9000e-003	0.1116		382.4095	382.4095	9.4700e-003	9.4100e-003	385.4491
Total	0.1458	0.0945	1.4750	3.7600e-003	0.4136	2.0600e-003	0.4156	0.1097	1.9000e-003	0.1116		382.4095	382.4095	9.4700e-003	9.4100e-003	385.4491

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2022

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Archit. Coating	8.6724					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.2045	1.4085	1.8136	2.9700e-003		0.0817	0.0817		0.0817	0.0817	0.0000	281.4481	281.4481	0.0183		281.9062
Total	8.8770	1.4085	1.8136	2.9700e-003		0.0817	0.0817		0.0817	0.0817	0.0000	281.4481	281.4481	0.0183		281.9062

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.1458	0.0945	1.4750	3.7600e-003	0.3920	2.0600e-003	0.3941	0.1044	1.9000e-003	0.1063		382.4095	382.4095	9.4700e-003	9.4100e-003	385.4491
Total	0.1458	0.0945	1.4750	3.7600e-003	0.3920	2.0600e-003	0.3941	0.1044	1.9000e-003	0.1063		382.4095	382.4095	9.4700e-003	9.4100e-003	385.4491

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Mitigated	0.1613	2.0964	1.4532	0.0118	0.5986	0.0313	0.6300	0.1663	0.0300	0.1962		1,236.703 4	1,236.703 4	0.0218	0.1548	1,283.377 5
Unmitigated	0.1613	2.0964	1.4532	0.0118	0.5986	0.0313	0.6300	0.1663	0.0300	0.1962		1,236.703 4	1,236.703 4	0.0218	0.1548	1,283.377 5

4.2 Trip Summary Information

Land Use	Average Daily Trip Rate			Unmitigated	Mitigated
	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
General Office Building	32.01	32.01	32.01	103,119	103,119
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	10.56	10.56	10.56	153,754	153,754
Total	42.57	42.57	42.57	256,873	256,873

4.3 Trip Type Information

Land Use	Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpose %		
	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
General Office Building	16.60	8.40	6.90	33.00	48.00	19.00	77	19	4
Other Asphalt Surfaces	16.60	8.40	6.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No	16.60	8.40	40.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100	0	0

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

4.4 Fleet Mix

Land Use	LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
General Office Building	0.531022	0.055789	0.171983	0.143721	0.027315	0.007422	0.011813	0.018850	0.000630	0.000321	0.024273	0.001102	0.005759
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.531022	0.055789	0.171983	0.143721	0.027315	0.007422	0.011813	0.018850	0.000630	0.000321	0.024273	0.001102	0.005759
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.250000	0.250000	0.500000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
NaturalGas Mitigated	1.6100e-003	0.0146	0.0123	9.0000e-005		1.1100e-003	1.1100e-003		1.1100e-003	1.1100e-003		17.5697	17.5697	3.4000e-004	3.2000e-004	17.6741
NaturalGas Unmitigated	1.6100e-003	0.0146	0.0123	9.0000e-005		1.1100e-003	1.1100e-003		1.1100e-003	1.1100e-003		17.5697	17.5697	3.4000e-004	3.2000e-004	17.6741

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Unmitigated

	NaturalGas Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr	lb/day										lb/day					
General Office Building	28.1918	3.0000e-004	2.7600e-003	2.3200e-003	2.0000e-005		2.1000e-004	2.1000e-004		2.1000e-004	2.1000e-004		3.3167	3.3167	6.0000e-005	6.0000e-005	3.3364
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	121.151	1.3100e-003	0.0119	9.9800e-003	7.0000e-005		9.0000e-004	9.0000e-004		9.0000e-004	9.0000e-004		14.2530	14.2530	2.7000e-004	2.6000e-004	14.3377
Total		1.6100e-003	0.0146	0.0123	9.0000e-005		1.1100e-003	1.1100e-003		1.1100e-003	1.1100e-003		17.5697	17.5697	3.3000e-004	3.2000e-004	17.6741

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

	NaturalGas Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr	lb/day										lb/day					
General Office Building	0.0281918	3.0000e-004	2.7600e-003	2.3200e-003	2.0000e-005		2.1000e-004	2.1000e-004		2.1000e-004	2.1000e-004		3.3167	3.3167	6.0000e-005	6.0000e-005	3.3364
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	0.121151	1.3100e-003	0.0119	9.9800e-003	7.0000e-005		9.0000e-004	9.0000e-004		9.0000e-004	9.0000e-004		14.2530	14.2530	2.7000e-004	2.6000e-004	14.3377
Total		1.6100e-003	0.0146	0.0123	9.0000e-005		1.1100e-003	1.1100e-003		1.1100e-003	1.1100e-003		17.5697	17.5697	3.3000e-004	3.2000e-004	17.6741

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Mitigated	0.7409	4.1000e-004	0.0449	0.0000		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		0.0961	0.0961	2.5000e-004		0.1024
Unmitigated	0.7409	4.1000e-004	0.0449	0.0000		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		0.0961	0.0961	2.5000e-004		0.1024

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Unmitigated

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory	lb/day										lb/day					
Architectural Coating	0.0950					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	0.6417					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Landscaping	4.1800e-003	4.1000e-004	0.0449	0.0000		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		0.0961	0.0961	2.5000e-004		0.1024
Total	0.7409	4.1000e-004	0.0449	0.0000		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		0.0961	0.0961	2.5000e-004		0.1024

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory	lb/day										lb/day					
Architectural Coating	0.0950					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	0.6417					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Landscaping	4.1800e-003	4.1000e-004	0.0449	0.0000		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		0.0961	0.0961	2.5000e-004		0.1024
Total	0.7409	4.1000e-004	0.0449	0.0000		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		0.0961	0.0961	2.5000e-004		0.1024

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

- Install Low Flow Bathroom Faucet
- Install Low Flow Kitchen Faucet
- Install Low Flow Toilet
- Install Low Flow Shower
- Use Water Efficient Irrigation System

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

9.0 Operational Offroad

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type
Off-Highway Trucks	1	8.00	260	402	0.38	Diesel
Forklifts	6	8.00	260	89	0.20	Diesel

UnMitigated/Mitigated

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Equipment Type	lb/day										lb/day					
Forklifts	0.6850	6.3610	6.9571	9.2100e-003		0.4214	0.4214		0.3877	0.3877	0.0000	892.6259	892.6259	0.2887		899.8432
Off-Highway Trucks	0.5311	4.0338	3.3755	0.0133		0.1467	0.1467		0.1350	0.1350	0.0000	1,285.3802	1,285.3802	0.4157		1,295.7732
Total	1.2161	10.3948	10.3326	0.0225		0.5681	0.5681		0.5226	0.5226	0.0000	2,178.0061	2,178.0061	0.7044		2,195.6164

10.0 Stationary Equipment

Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Hours/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type
----------------	--------	-----------	------------	-------------	-------------	-----------

Boilers

Equipment Type	Number	Heat Input/Day	Heat Input/Year	Boiler Rating	Fuel Type
----------------	--------	----------------	-----------------	---------------	-----------

User Defined Equipment

Equipment Type	Number
----------------	--------

11.0 Vegetation

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Summer

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

**Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod
Riverside-South Coast County, Winter**

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
General Office Building	3.00	1000sqft	0.07	3,000.00	0
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	22.00	1000sqft	0.51	22,000.00	0
Other Asphalt Surfaces	414.05	1000sqft	9.51	414,048.00	0

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	2.4	Precipitation Freq (Days)	28
Climate Zone	10			Operational Year	2022
Utility Company	Southern California Edison				
CO2 Intensity (lb/MWhr)	390.98	CH4 Intensity (lb/MWhr)	0.033	N2O Intensity (lb/MWhr)	0.004

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

- Project Characteristics -
- Land Use - Per Site Plan (5.18.2021)
- Construction Phase - anticipated construction schedule
- Off-road Equipment - No demolition
- Grading -
- Vehicle Trips - Per Traffic study
- Operational Off-Road Equipment - Off-road equipment
- Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - SCAQMD Rule Compliance
- Fleet Mix - Fleet mix adjustment
- Water Mitigation -

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblConstDustMitigation	CleanPavedRoadPercentReduction	0	6
tblConstDustMitigation	WaterUnpavedRoadMoistureContent	0	12
tblConstDustMitigation	WaterUnpavedRoadVehicleSpeed	0	15
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	20.00	0.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	30.00	25.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	300.00	120.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	20.00	45.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	20.00	40.00
tblFleetMix	HHD	0.02	0.50
tblFleetMix	LDA	0.53	0.00
tblFleetMix	LDT1	0.06	0.00
tblFleetMix	LDT2	0.17	0.00
tblFleetMix	LHD1	0.03	0.00
tblFleetMix	LHD2	7.4220e-003	0.25
tblFleetMix	MCY	0.02	0.00
tblFleetMix	MDV	0.14	0.00
tblFleetMix	MH	5.7590e-003	0.00
tblFleetMix	MHD	0.01	0.25
tblFleetMix	OBUS	6.3000e-004	0.00
tblFleetMix	SBUS	1.1020e-003	0.00
tblFleetMix	UBUS	3.2100e-004	0.00
tblGrading	MaterialExported	0.00	13,902.00
tblLandUse	LandUseSquareFeet	414,050.00	414,048.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	3.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	2.00	0.00
tblOperationalOffRoadEquipment	OperLoadFactor	0.38	0.38

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

tblOperationalOffRoadEquipment	OperLoadFactor	0.20	0.20
tblOperationalOffRoadEquipment	OperOffRoadEquipmentNumber	0.00	1.00
tblOperationalOffRoadEquipment	OperOffRoadEquipmentNumber	0.00	6.00
tblVehicleTrips	CNW_TL	6.90	40.00
tblVehicleTrips	CNW_TTP	41.00	100.00
tblVehicleTrips	CW_TTP	59.00	0.00
tblVehicleTrips	DV_TP	5.00	0.00
tblVehicleTrips	PB_TP	3.00	0.00
tblVehicleTrips	PR_TP	92.00	100.00
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	2.21	10.67
tblVehicleTrips	ST_TR	1.74	0.48
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	0.70	10.67
tblVehicleTrips	SU_TR	1.74	0.48
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	9.74	10.67
tblVehicleTrips	WD_TR	1.74	0.48

2.0 Emissions Summary

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Area	0.7409	4.1000e-004	0.0449	0.0000		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		0.0961	0.0961	2.5000e-004		0.1024
Energy	1.6100e-003	0.0146	0.0123	9.0000e-005		1.1100e-003	1.1100e-003		1.1100e-003	1.1100e-003		17.5697	17.5697	3.4000e-004	3.2000e-004	17.6741
Mobile	0.1445	2.2062	1.3344	0.0116	0.5986	0.0314	0.6300	0.1663	0.0300	0.1962		1,220.168 2	1,220.168 2	0.0220	0.1552	1,266.967 9
Offroad	1.2161	10.3948	10.3326	0.0225		0.5681	0.5681		0.5226	0.5226	0.0000	2,178.006 1	2,178.006 1	0.7044		2,195.616 4
Total	2.1030	12.6161	11.7242	0.0342	0.5986	0.6007	1.1993	0.1663	0.5538	0.7201	0.0000	3,415.840 1	3,415.840 1	0.7270	0.1555	3,480.360 9

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

2.2 Overall Operational

Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Area	0.7409	4.1000e-004	0.0449	0.0000		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		0.0961	0.0961	2.5000e-004		0.1024
Energy	1.6100e-003	0.0146	0.0123	9.0000e-005		1.1100e-003	1.1100e-003		1.1100e-003	1.1100e-003		17.5697	17.5697	3.4000e-004	3.2000e-004	17.6741
Mobile	0.1445	2.2062	1.3344	0.0116	0.5986	0.0314	0.6300	0.1663	0.0300	0.1962		1,220.1682	1,220.1682	0.0220	0.1552	1,266.9679
Offroad	1.2161	10.3948	10.3326	0.0225		0.5681	0.5681		0.5226	0.5226	0.0000	2,178.0061	2,178.0061	0.7044		2,195.6164
Total	2.1030	12.6161	11.7242	0.0342	0.5986	0.6007	1.1993	0.1663	0.5538	0.7201	0.0000	3,415.8401	3,415.8401	0.7270	0.1555	3,480.3609

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Demolition	Demolition	2/1/2022	1/31/2022	5	0	
2	Site Preparation	Site Preparation	2/1/2022	2/14/2022	5	10	
3	Grading	Grading	2/15/2022	3/21/2022	5	25	
4	Building Construction	Building Construction	3/22/2022	9/5/2022	5	120	

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

5	Paving	Paving	9/1/2022	11/2/2022	5	45
6	Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	10/1/2022	11/25/2022	5	40

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 15

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 75

Acres of Paving: 9.51

Residential Indoor: 0; Residential Outdoor: 0; Non-Residential Indoor: 37,500; Non-Residential Outdoor: 12,500; Striped Parking Area: 24,843 (Architectural Coating – sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	0	8.00	81	0.73
Demolition	Excavators	0	8.00	158	0.38
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	0	8.00	247	0.40
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	3	8.00	247	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	4	8.00	97	0.37
Grading	Excavators	2	8.00	158	0.38
Grading	Graders	1	8.00	187	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8.00	247	0.40
Grading	Scrapers	2	8.00	367	0.48
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	2	8.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Cranes	1	7.00	231	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	3	8.00	89	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	1	8.00	84	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	7.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	1	8.00	46	0.45
Paving	Pavers	2	8.00	130	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	2	8.00	132	0.36
Paving	Rollers	2	8.00	80	0.38

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	1	6.00	78	0.48
-----------------------	-----------------	---	------	----	------

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Count	Worker Trip Number	Vendor Trip Number	Hauling Trip Number	Worker Trip Length	Vendor Trip Length	Hauling Trip Length	Worker Vehicle Class	Vendor Vehicle Class	Hauling Vehicle Class
Demolition	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Site Preparation	7	18.00	0.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Grading	8	20.00	0.00	1,738.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Building Construction	9	184.00	72.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Paving	6	15.00	0.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Architectural Coating	1	37.00	0.00	0.00	14.70	6.90	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

Replace Ground Cover

Water Exposed Area

Water Unpaved Roads

Reduce Vehicle Speed on Unpaved Roads

Clean Paved Roads

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.3 Site Preparation - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Fugitive Dust					19.6570	0.0000	19.6570	10.1025	0.0000	10.1025			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.1701	33.0835	19.6978	0.0380		1.6126	1.6126		1.4836	1.4836		3,686.0619	3,686.0619	1.1922		3,715.8655
Total	3.1701	33.0835	19.6978	0.0380	19.6570	1.6126	21.2696	10.1025	1.4836	11.5860		3,686.0619	3,686.0619	1.1922		3,715.8655

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.0663	0.0477	0.5816	1.6600e-003	0.2012	1.0000e-003	0.2022	0.0534	9.2000e-004	0.0543		168.5113	168.5113	4.5800e-003	4.6800e-003	170.0216
Total	0.0663	0.0477	0.5816	1.6600e-003	0.2012	1.0000e-003	0.2022	0.0534	9.2000e-004	0.0543		168.5113	168.5113	4.5800e-003	4.6800e-003	170.0216

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.3 Site Preparation - 2022

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Fugitive Dust					7.2829	0.0000	7.2829	3.7430	0.0000	3.7430			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.1701	33.0835	19.6978	0.0380		1.6126	1.6126		1.4836	1.4836	0.0000	3,686.061 9	3,686.061 9	1.1922		3,715.865 5
Total	3.1701	33.0835	19.6978	0.0380	7.2829	1.6126	8.8955	3.7430	1.4836	5.2265	0.0000	3,686.061 9	3,686.061 9	1.1922		3,715.865 5

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.0663	0.0477	0.5816	1.6600e-003	0.1907	1.0000e-003	0.1917	0.0508	9.2000e-004	0.0517		168.5113	168.5113	4.5800e-003	4.6800e-003	170.0216
Total	0.0663	0.0477	0.5816	1.6600e-003	0.1907	1.0000e-003	0.1917	0.0508	9.2000e-004	0.0517		168.5113	168.5113	4.5800e-003	4.6800e-003	170.0216

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.4 Grading - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Fugitive Dust					9.2740	0.0000	9.2740	3.6644	0.0000	3.6644			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.6248	38.8435	29.0415	0.0621		1.6349	1.6349		1.5041	1.5041		6,011.4105	6,011.4105	1.9442		6,060.0158
Total	3.6248	38.8435	29.0415	0.0621	9.2740	1.6349	10.9089	3.6644	1.5041	5.1685		6,011.4105	6,011.4105	1.9442		6,060.0158

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Hauling	0.2094	9.3650	2.0286	0.0400	1.2168	0.1033	1.3201	0.3336	0.0989	0.4325		4,267.2779	4,267.2779	0.0572	0.6722	4,469.0285
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.0736	0.0530	0.6462	1.8400e-003	0.2236	1.1100e-003	0.2247	0.0593	1.0300e-003	0.0603		187.2348	187.2348	5.0800e-003	5.2000e-003	188.9129
Total	0.2830	9.4180	2.6748	0.0418	1.4403	0.1045	1.5448	0.3929	0.0999	0.4928		4,454.5127	4,454.5127	0.0623	0.6774	4,657.9413

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.4 Grading - 2022

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Fugitive Dust					3.4360	0.0000	3.4360	1.3577	0.0000	1.3577			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	3.6248	38.8435	29.0415	0.0621		1.6349	1.6349		1.5041	1.5041	0.0000	6,011.4105	6,011.4105	1.9442		6,060.0158
Total	3.6248	38.8435	29.0415	0.0621	3.4360	1.6349	5.0709	1.3577	1.5041	2.8618	0.0000	6,011.4105	6,011.4105	1.9442		6,060.0158

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Hauling	0.2094	9.3650	2.0286	0.0400	1.1616	0.1033	1.2650	0.3201	0.0989	0.4189		4,267.2779	4,267.2779	0.0572	0.6722	4,469.0285
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.0736	0.0530	0.6462	1.8400e-003	0.2119	1.1100e-003	0.2130	0.0564	1.0300e-003	0.0575		187.2348	187.2348	5.0800e-003	5.2000e-003	188.9129
Total	0.2830	9.4180	2.6748	0.0418	1.3735	0.1045	1.4780	0.3765	0.0999	0.4764		4,454.5127	4,454.5127	0.0623	0.6774	4,657.9413

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Off-Road	1.7062	15.6156	16.3634	0.0269		0.8090	0.8090		0.7612	0.7612		2,554.3336	2,554.3336	0.6120		2,569.6322
Total	1.7062	15.6156	16.3634	0.0269		0.8090	0.8090		0.7612	0.7612		2,554.3336	2,554.3336	0.6120		2,569.6322

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.1121	3.2075	1.0993	0.0131	0.4612	0.0440	0.5052	0.1328	0.0421	0.1749		1,390.7215	1,390.7215	0.0145	0.2064	1,452.5906
Worker	0.6774	0.4878	5.9448	0.0169	2.0567	0.0103	2.0669	0.5454	9.4400e-003	0.5549		1,722.5598	1,722.5598	0.0468	0.0479	1,737.9984
Total	0.7895	3.6953	7.0441	0.0301	2.5179	0.0543	2.5721	0.6782	0.0515	0.7298		3,113.2814	3,113.2814	0.0613	0.2543	3,190.5889

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.5 Building Construction - 2022

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Off-Road	1.7062	15.6156	16.3634	0.0269		0.8090	0.8090		0.7612	0.7612	0.0000	2,554.3336	2,554.3336	0.6120		2,569.6322
Total	1.7062	15.6156	16.3634	0.0269		0.8090	0.8090		0.7612	0.7612	0.0000	2,554.3336	2,554.3336	0.6120		2,569.6322

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.1121	3.2075	1.0993	0.0131	0.4415	0.0440	0.4855	0.1280	0.0421	0.1701		1,390.7215	1,390.7215	0.0145	0.2064	1,452.5906
Worker	0.6774	0.4878	5.9448	0.0169	1.9494	0.0103	1.9597	0.5191	9.4400e-003	0.5286		1,722.5598	1,722.5598	0.0468	0.0479	1,737.9984
Total	0.7895	3.6953	7.0441	0.0301	2.3909	0.0543	2.4451	0.6471	0.0515	0.6986		3,113.2814	3,113.2814	0.0613	0.2543	3,190.5889

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.6 Paving - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Off-Road	1.1028	11.1249	14.5805	0.0228		0.5679	0.5679		0.5225	0.5225		2,207.6603	2,207.6603	0.7140		2,225.5104
Paving	0.5537					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Total	1.6565	11.1249	14.5805	0.0228		0.5679	0.5679		0.5225	0.5225		2,207.6603	2,207.6603	0.7140		2,225.5104

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.0552	0.0398	0.4846	1.3800e-003	0.1677	8.4000e-004	0.1685	0.0445	7.7000e-004	0.0452		140.4261	140.4261	3.8100e-003	3.9000e-003	141.6847
Total	0.0552	0.0398	0.4846	1.3800e-003	0.1677	8.4000e-004	0.1685	0.0445	7.7000e-004	0.0452		140.4261	140.4261	3.8100e-003	3.9000e-003	141.6847

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.6 Paving - 2022

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Off-Road	1.1028	11.1249	14.5805	0.0228		0.5679	0.5679		0.5225	0.5225	0.0000	2,207.660 3	2,207.660 3	0.7140		2,225.510 4
Paving	0.5537					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Total	1.6565	11.1249	14.5805	0.0228		0.5679	0.5679		0.5225	0.5225	0.0000	2,207.660 3	2,207.660 3	0.7140		2,225.510 4

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.0552	0.0398	0.4846	1.3800e-003	0.1589	8.4000e-004	0.1598	0.0423	7.7000e-004	0.0431		140.4261	140.4261	3.8100e-003	3.9000e-003	141.6847
Total	0.0552	0.0398	0.4846	1.3800e-003	0.1589	8.4000e-004	0.1598	0.0423	7.7000e-004	0.0431		140.4261	140.4261	3.8100e-003	3.9000e-003	141.6847

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2022

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Archit. Coating	8.6724					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.2045	1.4085	1.8136	2.9700e-003		0.0817	0.0817		0.0817	0.0817		281.4481	281.4481	0.0183		281.9062
Total	8.8770	1.4085	1.8136	2.9700e-003		0.0817	0.0817		0.0817	0.0817		281.4481	281.4481	0.0183		281.9062

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.1362	0.0981	1.1954	3.4000e-003	0.4136	2.0600e-003	0.4156	0.1097	1.9000e-003	0.1116		346.3843	346.3843	9.4100e-003	9.6300e-003	349.4888
Total	0.1362	0.0981	1.1954	3.4000e-003	0.4136	2.0600e-003	0.4156	0.1097	1.9000e-003	0.1116		346.3843	346.3843	9.4100e-003	9.6300e-003	349.4888

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2022

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Archit. Coating	8.6724					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	0.2045	1.4085	1.8136	2.9700e-003		0.0817	0.0817		0.0817	0.0817	0.0000	281.4481	281.4481	0.0183		281.9062
Total	8.8770	1.4085	1.8136	2.9700e-003		0.0817	0.0817		0.0817	0.0817	0.0000	281.4481	281.4481	0.0183		281.9062

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.1362	0.0981	1.1954	3.4000e-003	0.3920	2.0600e-003	0.3941	0.1044	1.9000e-003	0.1063		346.3843	346.3843	9.4100e-003	9.6300e-003	349.4888
Total	0.1362	0.0981	1.1954	3.4000e-003	0.3920	2.0600e-003	0.3941	0.1044	1.9000e-003	0.1063		346.3843	346.3843	9.4100e-003	9.6300e-003	349.4888

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Mitigated	0.1445	2.2062	1.3344	0.0116	0.5986	0.0314	0.6300	0.1663	0.0300	0.1962		1,220.168 2	1,220.168 2	0.0220	0.1552	1,266.967 9
Unmitigated	0.1445	2.2062	1.3344	0.0116	0.5986	0.0314	0.6300	0.1663	0.0300	0.1962		1,220.168 2	1,220.168 2	0.0220	0.1552	1,266.967 9

4.2 Trip Summary Information

Land Use	Average Daily Trip Rate			Unmitigated	Mitigated
	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
General Office Building	32.01	32.01	32.01	103,119	103,119
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	10.56	10.56	10.56	153,754	153,754
Total	42.57	42.57	42.57	256,873	256,873

4.3 Trip Type Information

Land Use	Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpose %		
	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
General Office Building	16.60	8.40	6.90	33.00	48.00	19.00	77	19	4
Other Asphalt Surfaces	16.60	8.40	6.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No	16.60	8.40	40.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	100	0	0

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

4.4 Fleet Mix

Land Use	LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
General Office Building	0.531022	0.055789	0.171983	0.143721	0.027315	0.007422	0.011813	0.018850	0.000630	0.000321	0.024273	0.001102	0.005759
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0.531022	0.055789	0.171983	0.143721	0.027315	0.007422	0.011813	0.018850	0.000630	0.000321	0.024273	0.001102	0.005759
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.250000	0.250000	0.500000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000

5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
NaturalGas Mitigated	1.6100e-003	0.0146	0.0123	9.0000e-005		1.1100e-003	1.1100e-003		1.1100e-003	1.1100e-003		17.5697	17.5697	3.4000e-004	3.2000e-004	17.6741
NaturalGas Unmitigated	1.6100e-003	0.0146	0.0123	9.0000e-005		1.1100e-003	1.1100e-003		1.1100e-003	1.1100e-003		17.5697	17.5697	3.4000e-004	3.2000e-004	17.6741

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Unmitigated

	NaturalGas Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr	lb/day										lb/day					
General Office Building	28.1918	3.0000e-004	2.7600e-003	2.3200e-003	2.0000e-005		2.1000e-004	2.1000e-004		2.1000e-004	2.1000e-004		3.3167	3.3167	6.0000e-005	6.0000e-005	3.3364
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	121.151	1.3100e-003	0.0119	9.9800e-003	7.0000e-005		9.0000e-004	9.0000e-004		9.0000e-004	9.0000e-004		14.2530	14.2530	2.7000e-004	2.6000e-004	14.3377
Total		1.6100e-003	0.0146	0.0123	9.0000e-005		1.1100e-003	1.1100e-003		1.1100e-003	1.1100e-003		17.5697	17.5697	3.3000e-004	3.2000e-004	17.6741

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Mitigated

	NaturalGas Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr	lb/day										lb/day					
General Office Building	0.0281918	3.0000e-004	2.7600e-003	2.3200e-003	2.0000e-005		2.1000e-004	2.1000e-004		2.1000e-004	2.1000e-004		3.3167	3.3167	6.0000e-005	6.0000e-005	3.3364
Other Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Unrefrigerated Warehouse-No Rail	0.121151	1.3100e-003	0.0119	9.9800e-003	7.0000e-005		9.0000e-004	9.0000e-004		9.0000e-004	9.0000e-004		14.2530	14.2530	2.7000e-004	2.6000e-004	14.3377
Total		1.6100e-003	0.0146	0.0123	9.0000e-005		1.1100e-003	1.1100e-003		1.1100e-003	1.1100e-003		17.5697	17.5697	3.3000e-004	3.2000e-004	17.6741

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category	lb/day										lb/day					
Mitigated	0.7409	4.1000e-004	0.0449	0.0000		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		0.0961	0.0961	2.5000e-004		0.1024
Unmitigated	0.7409	4.1000e-004	0.0449	0.0000		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		0.0961	0.0961	2.5000e-004		0.1024

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Unmitigated

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory	lb/day										lb/day					
Architectural Coating	0.0950					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	0.6417					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Landscaping	4.1800e-003	4.1000e-004	0.0449	0.0000		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		0.0961	0.0961	2.5000e-004		0.1024
Total	0.7409	4.1000e-004	0.0449	0.0000		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		0.0961	0.0961	2.5000e-004		0.1024

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Mitigated

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory	lb/day										lb/day					
Architectural Coating	0.0950					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	0.6417					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Landscaping	4.1800e-003	4.1000e-004	0.0449	0.0000		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		0.0961	0.0961	2.5000e-004		0.1024
Total	0.7409	4.1000e-004	0.0449	0.0000		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		1.6000e-004	1.6000e-004		0.0961	0.0961	2.5000e-004		0.1024

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

- Install Low Flow Bathroom Faucet
- Install Low Flow Kitchen Faucet
- Install Low Flow Toilet
- Install Low Flow Shower
- Use Water Efficient Irrigation System

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

9.0 Operational Offroad

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type
Off-Highway Trucks	1	8.00	260	402	0.38	Diesel
Forklifts	6	8.00	260	89	0.20	Diesel

UnMitigated/Mitigated

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Equipment Type	lb/day										lb/day					
Forklifts	0.6850	6.3610	6.9571	9.2100e-003		0.4214	0.4214		0.3877	0.3877	0.0000	892.6259	892.6259	0.2887		899.8432
Off-Highway Trucks	0.5311	4.0338	3.3755	0.0133		0.1467	0.1467		0.1350	0.1350	0.0000	1,285.3802	1,285.3802	0.4157		1,295.7732
Total	1.2161	10.3948	10.3326	0.0225		0.5681	0.5681		0.5226	0.5226	0.0000	2,178.0061	2,178.0061	0.7044		2,195.6164

10.0 Stationary Equipment

Fire Pumps and Emergency Generators

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Hours/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type
----------------	--------	-----------	------------	-------------	-------------	-----------

Boilers

Equipment Type	Number	Heat Input/Day	Heat Input/Year	Boiler Rating	Fuel Type
----------------	--------	----------------	-----------------	---------------	-----------

User Defined Equipment

Equipment Type	Number
----------------	--------

11.0 Vegetation

Hemet Warehouse CalEEMod - Riverside-South Coast County, Winter

EMFAC Off-Model Adjustment Factors for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicle to Account for the SAFE Vehicle Rule Applied