STATEMENT BY PROPONENTS/AUTHORS OF ARGUMENTS

(Section 9600 of the Elections Code requires that all arguments concerning measures filed pursuant to this division shall be accompanied by the following form statement, to be signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument).

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the REBUTTAL (PRIMARY/REBUTTAL) argument AGAINST (IN FAVOR OF/AGAINST)

ballot proposition MEASURE "H" (NAME OR NUMBER) at the GENERAL (TITLE OF ELECTION)

election for the CITY OF HEMET (JURISDICTION) to be held on NOVEMBER 8, 2022 (DATE)

hereby state that this argument is true and correct to the best of THEIR (HIS/HER/THEIR) knowledge and belief.

PROPONENT'S/AUTHOR'S NAME:	SIGNATURE:
1. JACULIN "JACKIE" PETERSON PRINTED NAME (TITLE OPTIONAL) [redacted] ADDRESS	[redacted] SIGNATURE [redacted] 09/01/2022 (PHONE) DATE
2. JOHN PETTY PRINTED NAME (TITLE OPTIONAL) [redacted] ADDRESS	[redacted] SIGNATURE [redacted] 09/01/2022 (PHONE) DATE
3. PRINTED NAME (TITLE OPTIONAL) ADDRESS	SIGNATURE (PHONE) DATE
4. PRINTED NAME (TITLE OPTIONAL) ADDRESS	SIGNATURE (PHONE) DATE
5. PRINTED NAME (TITLE OPTIONAL) ADDRESS	SIGNATURE (PHONE) DATE

In order to enable the elections official to determine whether it qualifies as a bona fide association of citizens, an organization or association submitting an argument for or against a measure shall submit with its argument a copy of one of the following:
(1) Its articles of incorporation, articles of association, partnership documents, bylaws, or similar documents.
(2) Letterhead containing the name of the organization and its principal officers.
(3) If the organization or association is a primarily formed committee established to support or oppose the measure, its statement of organization filed pursuant to Section 84101 of the Government Code.

Measure "H"

Rebuttal Argument to the Argument in Favor

The City Council and Public Safety Union promoters of Measure "H" are calling it the "Keep Hemet Safe" proposition. Seriously? Ask yourself some very simple questions: Do you feel safer now than you did in 2016? After shelling out $65,000,000, have Hemet taxpayers received their money's worth? Who do the Measure "H" promoters think they are fooling? Hemet is not safe.

The crime statistics cited by the authors in favor of Measure "H" are not only totally inconsistent with the published FBI reports for Hemet, but they are also completely contrary to our collective common sense. The average Hemet citizen knows precisely how much our community has deteriorated since 2016, and no amount of misleading statistics or public safety dog and pony shows can convince us of some alternative reality. Hemet is not safe.

The promoters of Measure "H" also claim that it has broad-based support, and that Measure "H" is an early reward for doing such great work implementing Measure "U". The truth is that most of our local business leaders who championed Measure "U" in 2016 don't agree with Measure "H". Their silence speaks volumes. They know very well -- Hemet is not safe.

Send a message to the City Council that is loud and clear – we want the promised "boots on the ground" to be hired immediately, and we want to know exactly how our $65,000,000 has been spent. Bring a better version of Measure "H" back within the next four years. Only then can Hemet begin to be safe.

Vote NO on Measure "H".

Jackie Peterson
John Petty